

Numerical Simulation of Graphene-Nanoplatelet Nanofluid Convection in Millimeter-Sized Automotive Radiator

Leslie Toh Kok Lik¹, Ting Tiew Wei^{1,2,*}

¹ School of Engineering and Technology, University of Technology Sarawak, 96000 Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia

² Centre for Research of Innovation and Sustainable Development, University of Technology Sarawak, 96000 Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received 7 September 2023 Received in revised form10 October 2023 Accepted 12 November 2023 Available online 15 January 2024	The depletion of fossil fuels and environmental considerations in transportation sector motivate the researchers to enhance the efficiency and performance of the automotive systems. However, the poor thermal performance of conventional coolant poses a limitation in the development of energy-efficient vehicle due to the cooling constraint. In the present study, a comprehensive numerical study is conducted to scrutinize the convective performance of graphene nanoplatelets (GnP) nanofluid in millimeter-sized automotive radiator, aiming to enhance the understandings on the underlying physical significance of the suspension of graphene-based nanoparticle in water for the performance enhancement of automotive radiator. The temperature-dependent thermophysical properties of GnP-water nanofluid is predicted via existing correlations, while a modified viscosity correlation is developed. ANSYS Fluent is employed in the present numerical simulation to investigate the effects of various pertinent parameters such as Reynolds number, nanoparticles aspect ratio, tube aspect ratio and tube hydraulic diameter on the heat transfer performance of the radiator. Double precision and second-order upwind scheme with inclusion of viscous heating, and convergent criteria of 10 ⁻⁶ are adopted for the present simulation. It is observed that the convective performance of the radiator is significantly enhanced by increasing Reynolds number and nanoparticle volume fraction while decreasing the aspect ratios of nanoparticle and radiator tube, with an enhancement rate as much as 1816%. Therefore, it is evident that the suspension of GnP intensifies the heat transfer performance of millimeter-sized automotive radiator, which could possibly lead to a more officient radiator radiator and uibet is completed and radiator.

1. Introduction

Gasoline and diesel fuelled vehicles are widely used in rural and urban transportation around the world [1]. The global transportation sector consumes around 51-million-barrel oil per day and it is expected to increase up to 70-million-barrel oil per day in year 2040 [2]. The increasing in energy demand coupled with the depletion of fossil fuels and the resulting environmental considerations are urging the need to develop energy-efficient vehicle with better fuel efficiency. However, issue like engine overheating poses a limitation on the efficiency of the automotive engine. Therefore, it is of

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.37934/cfdl.16.6.3252

E-mail address: tiewwei@gmail.com (Ting Tiew Wei)

vital importance to improve the engine cooling system by enhancing the convective performance of the automotive radiator [3].

In view of the low-thermal-conductivity characteristic of conventional coolant which constraints the cooling performance of radiator, an innovative method is adopted by suspending ultrafine solid particles in fluid to enhance the heat transfer characteristic of radiator coolant [4]. This novel type of engineered colloids, which contains nanoparticles with diameter less than 100 nanometer suspended in conventional fluid, is coined as nanofluid [5]. Nanofluids show great potential in enhancing the heat transfer devices [6] attributable to the large specific surface area [7]. Besides, the ultrafine nanoparticles do not impose clogging issue in macro- or milli-sized channel, making the nanofluid a suitable candidate coolant to enhance the thermal efficiency of radiators [8].

However, the presence of nanoparticles increases the density of nanofluids which could increase the friction factor and pumping power. In addition to that, the stability issue of nanofluids, due to the interaction among nanoparticles and fluid molecules, is posing a challenge to the commercialization of this novel type of fluid.

Numerous studies have been performed to investigate various effects related to the hydrodynamic and thermal characteristics of the nanofluids. By using Euler-Lagrange approach, Bahiraei et al., [9] studied the heat transfer characteristics of CuO-water nanofluid in a straight tube under laminar flow regime. It is reported that the convective heat transfer coefficient of nanofluid is intensified as much as 14%, with the effects of thermophoretic and Brownian forces become more prominent at higher particle concentration and at greater distances from the tube inlet. Artificial neural network is also applied to nanofluid study for the prediction of water productivity [10] and energy efficiency [11] of solar still enhanced with Cu₂O-water nanofluid. Aswarthanarayana et al., [12] performed a numerical study on the thermal properties of graphene-silicone oil nanofluid and reported a maximum increase of 21.19% in the thermal conductivity. A study on the hydrothermal attribute of a functionalized GnP nanofluid in micro liquid blocks revealed that by increasing either flow velocity or nanoparticle concentration, the cooling performance is augmented and the temperature of heating surface reduces, with the possibility of lowering the hot spot formation [13]. Jamshidmofid et al., [14] performed thermohydraulic assessment of water-based rGO-Co₃O₄ nanofluid in a microchannel heat sink with sinusoidal cavities and rectangular ribs. It is noticed that the use of nanofluid weakens the vortices created by the cavities and ribs, while the convective heat transfer coefficient is intensified by 14%. However, Ting et al., [15] showed that the presence of nanoparticles could deteriorate the performance of microchannel due to the presence of viscous dissipation effect, causing the reduction of heat transfer coefficient by 69%. This contradictory finding indicate the importance to consider the viscous dissipation term to avoid overestimation in the heat transfer performance of nanofluids flow.

In the earlier stage of research on the usage of nanoparticle in enhancing the heat transfer performance of automotive radiator, extensive research has been conducted on the usage of metalbased nanoparticle in enhancing the heat transfer performance of automotive radiator [16]. Shah *et al.*, [17] performed a potential evaluation of low concentration Fe₂O₃-H₂O nanocoolant for automotive cooling and reported an enhancement of 21.9% in the heat transfer rate of nanofluid as compared to the basefluid. The presence of a very small extent of nanoparticles can excessively lift the performance of the radiators [17]. Besides, the heat transfer coefficient enhancement intensifies with the increase of nanoparticle concentration and Reynolds number [18]. In an experimental work on heavy vehicle radiator, the suspension of TiO₂ nanoparticle enhances the heat transfer coefficient of the radiator by 48.2% when compared to pure water. Kumar *et al.*, [19] investigated the thermal performance of automobile radiator with CuO and SiO₂ nanoparticles suspended in ethylene glycol (EG) based coolant. By employing nanofluid in radiator, thermal conductivity is enhanced by 6.5%, but Nusselt number is significantly increased by 48.24% [19]. Arbak *et al.*, [20] performed an experimental investigation on bimetallic nanoparticles heat transfer characteristics in automotive radiator by using CuO and ZnO nanoparticles. It has been observed that bimetallic additives, significantly increase the heat transfer performance up to 40% [20], paving way to the reductions of automotive radiator size and fuel consumption.

In recent years, carbon-based nanoparticle such as graphene, a thin layer of pure carbon, has much higher thermal conductivity as compared to metal nanoparticle [21]. Therefore, it is conjectured that graphene-based nanoparticle could intensify the cooling performance of automotive radiator. Naveen et al., [22] experimentally scrutinized the effect of employing graphene nanofluid in the cooling of automotive system as compared to the conventional EG/water coolant. The study shows that graphene nanocoolant enhances the heat transfer rate of radiator by 68% [22]. In another experimental study which investigated the automobile cooling system suspended with GnP and cellulose nanocrystals (CNC) nanoparticles, Yaw et al., [23] reported that the presence of GnP and CNC nanoparticles intensifies the convective heat transfer coefficient of radiator by 51.9%. Similar enhancement rate is also reported by Selvam et al., [24] which showed that GnP-EG/water nanofluid increases the convection heat transfer coefficient of automotive radiator by 51% [24]. Ponangi et al., [25] studied the suspension of carboxyl graphene (CG) and graphene oxide (GO) in EG/water and showed that Nusselt number of the radiator is increased up to 11 times. An experimental investigation on the performance of GnP and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) suspended in radiator coolant oil showed that the thermal conductivity of the hybrid nanofluid is intensified up to 59% while improving the radiator overall heat transfer coefficient by 124% [26]. These existing studies revealed that automotive radiator performance can be greatly enhanced by suspending graphene-based nanoparticle in the radiator, implying the great potential of utilizing graphene-based nanofluid as a coolant in automotive cooling.

In the up-to-date literature, most of the studies on graphene-based nanofluid flow in automotive radiator employ the mixture of water and EG as the basefluids [22-25]. Numerical investigations on the convective performance of water-based GnP nanofluids in radiator for improving the cooling of automotive engine is relatively scarce. Besides, the lack of understanding of thermal enhancement mechanism of nanofluid in the automotive radiator forms a barrier to the commercialization the nano-coolant [27]. To bridge the information gap, the present study is conducted to perform a comprehensive numerical analysis on the thermal performance of millimeter-sized automotive radiator with GnP suspension in water. The temperature-dependent thermophysical properties of GnP-water nanofluid is predicted via existing correlations, while a modified viscosity correlation is developed. With the inclusion of viscous heating effect in ANSYS Fluent, the effects of various pertinent parameters such as Reynolds number, nanoparticles aspect ratio, tube aspect ratio and tube hydraulic diameter on the heat transfer performance of the radiator are scrutinized. The temperature contours of the nanofluid flows are analyzed to enhance the understandings on the underlying physical significance of the suspension of graphene-based nanoparticle in water for the performance enhancement of automotive radiator.

2. Methodology

2.1 Numerical Simulation

In the present study, ANSYS Fluent is utilized to simulate the thermal performance of a millimetersized automotive radiator with GnP suspension. Figure 1 shows a typical automotive radiator geometry that is formed by several tubes with plate fins for the transfer of heat between the coolant and air.



Fig. 1. The geometry of automotive radiator

The flow of nanofluid in the tube is assumed to be an incompressible laminar flow and the radiation heat transfer is assumed to be negligible. The single-phase fluid approach is employed as the low nanoparticles concentration in the basefluid can be considered as a continuous media [28-30]. Figure 2 depicts the dimension of the present millimeter-sized radiator with a hydraulic diameter of $D_h = 3.6044$ mm and tube length of L = 394 mm.



Fig. 2. The dimension of millimeter-sized radiator

The three governing equations of continuity, momentum and energy are solved by using ANSYS Fluent under a three-dimensional flow field. The continuity equation [31] can be written as

$$(\nabla \cdot V) = 0 \tag{1}$$

The momentum equation [31] can be expressed as

$$\rho(\nabla \cdot V)V = -\nabla P + \mu \nabla^2 V \tag{2}$$

The energy equation [31] can be defined as

$$\rho C_{\rho} (\nabla \cdot V) T = k \nabla^2 T + \mu \Phi$$
(3)

2.2 Thermophysical Properties Correlations

The thermophysical properties of GnP-based nanofluid are predicted by using mathematical approach. The density, specific heat capacity, thermal conductivity and viscosity of nanofluid can be determined by assuming the nanoparticles are well dispersed in basefluid with constant concentration [32]. The density for nanofluid can be determined by using equation presented by Pak and Cho [33] based on the basis of volume average.

$$\rho_{nf} = \phi \rho_p + (1 - \phi) \rho_{bf} \tag{4}$$

Where ϕ is the nanoparticle volume fraction. The specific heat capacity can be calculated by using equation reported by Xuan and Roetzel [34] based on the basis of mass average.

$$C_{\rho,nf} = \frac{\phi \rho_{\rho} C_{\rho\rho} + (1 - \phi) \rho_{bf} C_{\rho bf}}{\rho_{nf}}$$
(5)

These two equations are commonly used to determine the density and the specific heat capacity in the studies of nanofluid [18, 32, 35]. The thermal conductivity of the GnP-based nanofluid can be determined by using Nan's model [36]. Several studies have been conducted by using Nan's Model to determine the thermal conductivity of GnP nanofluid [37, 38]. The Nan's model are

$$k_{nf} = C_k k_{bf} \tag{6}$$

where the coefficient of thermal conductivity is expressed as

$$C_{k} = \frac{3 + \phi[2\gamma_{11}(1 - \ell_{11}) + \gamma_{33}(1 - \ell_{33})]}{3 - \phi(2\gamma_{11}\ell_{11} + \gamma_{33}\ell_{33})}$$
(7)

The geometrical factors $\ell_{\rm 11}$ and $\ell_{\rm 33}\,$ in Eq. (7) are defined by

$$\ell_{11} = \frac{\xi_{\rho}^{2}}{2(\xi_{\rho}^{2} - 1)} + \frac{\xi_{\rho}}{2(1 - \xi_{\rho}^{2})^{3/2}} \cos^{-1} \xi_{\rho} \quad \text{for} \quad \xi_{\rho} < 1$$
(8)

$$\ell_{33} = 1 - 2\ell_{11} \tag{9}$$

The nanoparticles aspect ratio ξ_p in Eq. (8) can be written as

$$\xi_{\rho} = \frac{t_{\rho}}{D_{\rho}} \tag{10}$$

In Eq. (7), γ_{11} and γ_{33} is expressed as

$$\gamma_{11} = \frac{k_{11} - k_{bf}}{k_{bf} + \ell_{11}(k_{11} - k_{bf})}$$
(11)

$$\gamma_{33} = \frac{k_{33} - k_{bf}}{k_{bf} + \ell_{33}(k_{33} - k_{bf})}$$
(12)

Where k_{11} and k_{33} represent the equivalent thermal conductivities along the x-axis and z-axis and can be defined as

$$k_{11} = \frac{k_{par}}{1 + \delta \ell_{11} k_{par} / k_{bf}}$$
(13)

$$k_{33} = \frac{k_{per}}{1 + \delta \ell_{33} k_{per} / k_{bf}}$$
(14)

The dimensionless parameter δ is expressed as

$$\delta = (1 + 2\xi_p) \frac{2K_r}{t_p} \quad \text{for} \quad \xi_p < 1 \tag{15}$$

Where K_r represents Kapitza radius which can be defined as

$$K_r = R_i k_{bf} \tag{16}$$

In Eq. (16), R_i is the interfacial thermal resistance which is depicted as

$$K_r = R_i k_{bf} \tag{17}$$

In the up-to-date literature, various studies have been conducted to determine the viscosity of nanofluid by using equation from Brinkman [39], Einstein [40], Brenner *et al.*, [41], Batchelor [42] and Sarsam *et al.*, [37]. These viscosity correlations [37, 39-42] are found to generate significant deviations when compared to the experimental study [43] as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, a modified correlation is employed to determine the viscosity of GnP nanofluid in the present study. The modified correlation equation is developed by using the equation reported by Brenner *et al.*, [41] and can be expressed as

$$\mu_{nf} = (1 + \sigma^{1.675} \phi) \mu_{bf}$$
(18)

Where $\sigma = \{0.312\beta / [\ln(2\beta - 1.5)]\} + 2 - \{0.5 / [\ln(2\beta - 1.5)]\} - (1.872 / \beta)$ is the intrinsic viscosities and $\beta = D_p / t_p$ is the axis ratio.



Fig. 3. Comparison of viscosity correlations [37, 39-42] with the empirical data from Selvam et al., [43]

The modified viscosity correlation for GnP-based nanofluid as expressed by Eq. (18) is validated with several existing studies [43-45] as depicted in Figure 4. The results show a good agreement with the reference studies [43-45] with the error ranges between 0.00778% and 5.57%. This indicates that the present viscosity correlation is capable to predict the viscosity of GnP-based nanofluid with various GnP nanoparticles thickness and diameter.



Fig. 4. Comparison of the modified viscosity correlation with several existing studies [43-45]

2.3 Boundary Conditions

ANSYS Fluent is utilized to scrutinize the thermal performance of GnP-water nanofluid in millimeter-sized radiator. Double precision and second-order upwind scheme with inclusion of viscous heating, and convergent criteria of 10^{-6} are adopted for the simulation. The velocity, V, temperature, T, and pressure, P, are solved throughout the interior computational domain of the geometry. The wall temperature, T_W , wall heat flux, q'', and bulk mean temperature, T_b , are recorded in the data post-processing for the calculations of heat transfer coefficient. The boundary conditions of the present simulation as illustrated in Figure 5. The uniform axial inlet velocity and pressure outlet condition are adopted and are assumed to be an idealization of the actual flow pattern [18]. As referred to Park et al., [46], the convection heat transfer coefficient for tube wall and temperature are imposed with $h_0 = 50 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ and $T_0 = 303 \text{ K}$ respectively, which is corresponding to an automotive vehicle moving with a speed of 72 km/h. The symmetry boundary condition is applied to reduce the meshing size. No-slip boundary condition is adopted at the wall. This study is conducted in the laminar flow regime and Reynolds number is determined by the inlet velocity. The temperaturedependent thermophysical properties of GnP-based nanofluids, that are determined by Eq. (4) - (18) , are used in ANSYS Fluent. Various pertinent parameters such as volumetric concentration ϕ , Reynolds number Re, nanoparticle aspect ratio ξ_p , tube aspect ratio ξ_{τ} and flat tube hydraulic diameter D_h, are investigated in the convection flow of the millimeter-sized radiator with GnP suspension.



Fig. 5. Boundary conditions of the simulation

2.4 Data Reduction

In the present study, Reynolds number of GnP-based nanofluid is defined as

$$Re = \frac{\rho V D_h}{\mu}$$
(19)

Where D_h represents the hydraulic diameter of the tube, $D_h = 4A/L_c$. The local heat transfer coefficient, h_l along the circumference of the tube can be calculated from

$$h_{l} = \frac{q''}{(T_{w} - T_{b})}$$
(20)

The average local heat transfer coefficient, $\overline{h}_z = \sum h_i / n$ where *n* represents number of points. The average local Nusselt number, \overline{Nu}_z along the tube length can be defined as

$$\overline{Nu}_{z} = \frac{\overline{h}_{z} D_{h}}{k_{bf}}$$
(21)

The average heat transfer coefficient of the entire tube length, h can be written as

$$\overline{h} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \overline{h}_z dz \tag{22}$$

The average Nusselt number over the entire tube length, Nu can be expressed as

$$\overline{Nu} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \overline{Nu}_z dz$$
(23)

The percentage enhancement of the average Nusselt number, Δ can be defined as

$$\Delta = \frac{\overline{Nu}_{nf} - \overline{Nu}_{bf}}{\overline{Nu}_{bf}}$$
(24)

2.5 Validation

Validations are conducted to verify the numerical model before data collection. The flat tube temperature and velocity profile of coolant with 40% water and 60% ethylene glycol at Re = 100 is compared with that reported by Vajjha *et al.*, [18] as shown in Figure 6. Based on flat-tube radiator dimension of 2.54 mm × 16.18 mm × 500 mm, the results show a good agreement between the two studies with the maximum errors of 2.97% and 0.13% for velocity and temperature, respectively.



Fig. 6. Comparison of temperature and velocity profiles of the present study with Vajjha et al., [18]

Moreover, validation works for the average local Nusselt number, Nu_z is performed using water at Re = 1750 along the tube length as shown in Figure 7. Based on flat-tube radiator dimension of 3 mm × 9 mm × 345 mm, the results demonstrate a good agreement between the present study and Elsebay *et al.*, [32]. The deviations between the two studies are in the range of 0.51% to 3.51%. This provides a sound validation of the accuracy of the numerical modelling approach employed in this study.



Fig. 7. Comparison of average local Nusselt number of the present study with Elsebay et al., [32]

In addition, Figure 8 shows the simulation results of GnP-water nanofluids flow in a cylindrical tube with constant heat flux of 8231 W/m² and Reynolds number of 4583. The thermophysical properties of GnP-water based nanofluids are calculated based on the Eq. (4) - (18). The present study are compared to the experimental and the numerical results reported by Sadeghinezhad *et al.,* [44]. The results demonstrate a good agreement with the referred study, particularly with the experimental results, with the maximum error of 0.27%. The errors are diminished with the increase of the GnP weight concentration. This provides a sound validation of the accuracy of the nanofluid modeling approach employed in this study.



Fig. 8. Comparison of tube wall temperature of the present study with Sadeghinezhad et al., [44]

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Thermophysical Properties of Nanofluid

The nanofluid of the present study consists of graphene nanoplatelets (GnP) which is suspended in basefluid of water. The thermal performance of millimeter-sized radiator is investigated by using GnP with $t_p = 10$ nm and $D_p = 2$ um. The thermophysical properties of water as reported in Bergman *et al.*, [47] and the properties of GnP nanoparticles as shown in Table 1 are used to determine the properties of GnP-water nanofluid by employing Eq. (4) – (18).

Table 1					
Thermophysical properties of GnP nanoparticles					
Property	Thermophysical properties for GnP nanoparticles	Reference			
ρ	2200 kg/m ³	[21]			
C _p	643 J/kgK	[38]			
k_{par}	3000 W/mK	[21]			
k_{pen}	6 W/mK	[21]			

In the present study, the thermophysical properties of GnP-water nanofluids are temperature dependence. This is of vital importance to ensure the accuracy of the simulated heat transfer characteristic of nanofluid along the radiator tube which has varying streamwise temperature. As illustrated in Figure 9, the density of GnP-water nanofluid increases with the increase of ϕ . This is due to higher density characteristic of GnP nanoparticles as compared to basefluid. The density of GnP-water nanofluid decreases when temperature increases due to the reduction of the density of basefluid at higher temperature. For GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ and T = 363 K, the density intensifies by 1.28% as compared to basefluid.



Fig. 9. Density of GnP-water nanofluid with respect to temperature for various ϕ

Figure 10 shows the variation of specific heat capacity of GnP-water nanofluid with respect to temperature. It is observed that the specific heat capacity of GnP-water nanofluid decreases with the increase of ϕ . This is because the specific heat capacity of GnP nanoparticles is lower than the basefluid. For GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ and T = 363 K, the specific heat capacity decreases by 1.91% as compared to basefluid.



Fig. 10. Specific heat capacity of GnP-water nanofluid with respect to temperature for various ϕ

The thermal conductivity enhancement of GnP-water nanofluid is highly affected by the interfacial thermal resistance [36]. In the present study, the thermal conductivity for GnP-water nanofluid is calculated by assuming the perfect interface characteristic, with Kapitza radius equals to zero [36, 37]. The thermal conductivity of GnP-water nanofluid enhances with respect to GnP loadings and temperature as displayed in Figure 11. This is due to the increase of particle movements associated with Brownian motion within the fluid [24, 48]. The effect of Brownian motion becomes more significant at higher temperatures due to the micro- convection induced by such motion, causing a significant intensification of the thermal conductivity of nanofluid. Moreover, the enhancement of thermal conductivity at higher nanoparticle concentrations is attributable to the high-thermal-conductivity characteristics of GnP. For GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ and T = 363 K, the thermal conductivity intensifies by 161.77% as compared to basefluid.



Fig. 11. Thermal conductivity of GnP-water nanofluid with respect to temperature for various ϕ

Figure 12 illustrates the variation of viscosity of GnP-water nanofluid with respect to temperature for various particle concentration. Based on Figure 12, it is noticed that the viscosity of GnP-water nanofluid increases with the increase of GnP suspensions and the decrease of temperature. As temperature decreases, the intermolecular interactions within the particles reduces, inducing a higher viscosity [24]. For GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ and T = 363 K, the viscosity increases by 101.5% as compared to basefluid.



Fig. 12. Viscosity of GnP-water nanofluid with respect to temperature for various ϕ

3.2 Grid Independence Study

The grid independence studies are conducted to determine the appropriate mesh size of the present numerical simulation. The mesh is created by using ANSYS Fluent Meshing function. Six different mesh sizes are generated to determine the average Nusselt number over an entire tube, \overline{Nu} for the $\phi = 0\%$ (basefluid) and GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 0.5\%$ at Re = 1000 and T_{in} = 363 K in the millimeter-sized radiator as illustrated in Figure 13. The mesh sizes are listed in Table 2. Based on Figure 13, the difference of \overline{Nu} between mesh 5 and 6, are 1.14% and 1.09%, respectively, for basefluid and GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 0.5\%$. Therefore, mesh 5 is grid independent and used for the present simulation of nanofluid conection in the automotive radiator.





Table 2				
Mesh sizes for millimeter-sized radiator				
Grid	Nodes	Elements		
Mesh 1	6716	4930		
Mesh 2	32144	27300		
Mesh 3	80196	71295		
Mesh 4	170200	155760		
Mesh 5	309324	288075		
Mesh 6	508068	478740		

3.3 Effect of Reynolds Number in Millimeter-sized Radiator

Figure 14 illustrates the temperature contour of basefluid and GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ along the radiator channel for Re = 1000 and Re = 1750 at $T_{in} = 363$ K. By comparing Figure 13(a) and Figure 14(b), basefluid at Re = 1750 have a smaller streamwise temperature difference along the channel as compared to that with Re = 1000. Therefore, the heat transfer coefficient is elevated due to the reduction of $T_w - T_b$ as depicted by Eq. (20). On the other hand, GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ at Re = 1000 portrays a smaller streamwise temperature difference as compared to the basefluid with Re = 1000. This is due to the enhancement of the thermal conductivity in GnP-water nanofluid. As Re increases, the streamwise temperature difference along the channel with the nanofluid flow is further reduced as illustrated in Figure 14.



Fig. 14. Temperature contour of basefluid and GnP nanofluid in millimeter-sized radiator

Figure 15 shows the average Nusselt number over the entire tube length, \overline{Nu} and Δ with various Re and ϕ . The present study shows an increase of \overline{Nu} with respect to ϕ and Re. This concurs with Figure 14, which shows a smaller temperature difference of $T_{\rm w}$ - $T_{\rm b}$ in nanofluid at higher ϕ and Re. The highest Δ is 163.48% for GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ at Re = 750. Δ Intensifies with the increase of ϕ and the decrease of Re. The maximum \overline{Nu} =25.48 for GnP-water nanofluid with ϕ = 1.0% at Re = 2000. The heat transfer performance of the automotive radiator improves with the increase of ϕ and Re [49]. Based on Vajjha *et al.*, [18], the enhancement of the average heat transfer coefficient of Al₂O₃-based nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ and Re=2000 is 94%. This indicates that the thermal performance enhancement of the present study is higher than that of Vajjha et al., [18] even with a smaller amount of GnP nanoparticles suspension. This is attributable to the thermophysical properties enhancement of GnP-water nanofluid. The increment of \overline{Nu} for GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 1.0\%$ is 11.05 % as Re increases from 750 to 2000. Therefore, the present study shows that the effect of ϕ in enhancing \overline{Nu} is more significant as compared to the effect of Re. This is in contrary to that reported by Vajjha et al., [18] due to the superior thermophysical properties of GnP-water nanofluid. Based on Figure 14 and Figure 15, it is observed that the nanofluid demonstrate a higher thermal conductivity and a higher Reynolds number give rise to a greater mixing of flow, resulting in the enhancement of the radiator performance [50] which could possibly lead to a smaller and lighter radiator [35]. However, for the commercialization of nanocoolant for automotive application, the stability issue of nanoparticles in the coolant has to be addressed. The method to overcome large van der Waals agglomeration of nanoparticles, i.e. surface treatment or particle coating, has to be

established. Besides, large-scale single-step and two-step nanofluid preparation techniques have to be developed. This is essential to achieve economies of scale for nanocoolant synthesis with good stability and low production cost.



Fig. 15. Variation of \overline{Nu} and Δ with ϕ for different Re in millimeter-sized radiator

3.4 Effect of Nanoparticles Aspect Ratio in Millimeter-sized Radiator

By varying the value of ξ_p , the shape of GnP is different with respect to particle thickness and diameter based on Eq. (10). The effects of ξ_p on GnP-water nanofluid flow in millimeter-sized radiator are conducted at Re = 1750 and $T_{\rm in}$ = 363 K. The plots of \overline{Nu} and Δ with various ξ_p are displayed in Figure 16. The present study demonstrates that \overline{Nu} increases with the decrease of ξ_p and the increase of ϕ . The maximum \overline{Nu} =190.16 and Δ =1816.53% is observed for GnP-water nanofluid with ϕ =1.0% and ξ_p = 0.0004 due to the significant intensification of thermal conductivity at low ξ_p , promoting energy exchange process between the nanofluid and tube wall [24]. As ξ_p decreases from 0.005 to 0.0004, the enhancement of the thermal conductivity is up to 412% while the intensifications of \overline{Nu} are as much as 119.46% and 666.28% for ϕ = 0.1% and ϕ = 1.0%, respectively. Besides, Δ increases with the decrease of ξ_p and the increase of ϕ .



Fig. 16. Variation of *Nu* and Δ with ξ_p for different ϕ in millimeter-sized radiator

3.5 Effect of Tube Aspect Ratio in Millimeter-sized Radiator

Figure 17 portrays the variations of \overline{Nu} and Δ with respect to $\xi_{\tau} = 2H/2(W+H)$ at Re = 1750, $T_{in} = 363$ K, $D_h = 3.6044$ mm and L = 0.394 m. It is observed that \overline{Nu} increases with the decrease of ξ_{τ} and the increase of ϕ . When $\phi = 1.0\%$ and $\xi_{\tau} = 0.0681$, the average Nusselt number is the maximum with $\overline{Nu} = 25.25$. When ξ_{τ} decreases from 1 to 0.0681, \overline{Nu} intensifies by 19.30% and 22.56%, respectively, for $\phi = 0\%$ and $\phi = 1.0\%$. In addition, Δ intensifies with the increase of ϕ . With the reduction of ξ_{τ} , the tube profile is more flatten and the thermal performance enhancement is achieved owing to the changes of the temperature and velocity distribution along the tube cross section [51]. Based on Figure 17, it is noticed that the increase of ϕ intensifies the effect of the tube aspect ratio, concurring with that reported by Huminic and Huminic [51] and Safikhani and Abbassi [52] which state that the increase of the tube flattening enhances the heat transfer coefficient of nanofluid. Figure 18 illustrates the temperature contour for GnP-water nanofluid with $\phi = 0.5\%$ at different ξ_{τ} . By comparing Figure 18(a) and 18(b), GnP-water nanofluid with $\xi_{\tau} = 0.0681$ shows a smaller streamwise temperature difference along the tube as compared to $\xi_{\tau} = 1$. Therefore, based on Eq. (20), the reduction in $T_{w} - T_{b}$ enhances the heat transfer coefficient of tube with low ξ_{τ} .



Fig. 17. Variation of *Nu* and Δ with ξ_{τ} for different ϕ in millimeter-sized radiator



Fig. 18. Temperature contour of $\phi = 0.5\%$ GnP-water nanofluid for different ξ_{τ}

3.6 Effect of Tube Hydraulic Diameter in Millimeter-sized Radiator

Figure 19 shows the plot of \bar{h} with various D_h at Re = 1750, $T_{in} = 333$ K, $\xi_T = 0.1357$ and L = 0.394 m. It is observed that \bar{h} increases with the decrease of D_h and the increase of ϕ when $D_h \ge 0.72$ mm. When D_h decreases from 7.2 mm to 0.72 mm, \bar{h} intensifies by 534.36% and 656.38%, respectively, for $\phi = 0\%$ and $\phi = 1.0\%$. This is attributable to the increase of inlet velocity with a constant volume flow rate when the channel diameter is decreased, resulting in the increase of \bar{h} [53]. The maximum \bar{h} enhancement is up to 188.79% when GnP-water nanofluid is at $\phi = 1.0\%$ and $D_h = 0.72$ mm. However, when $D_h \le 0.72$ mm, \bar{h} deteriorates as D_h reduces. This is due to the effect of viscous dissipation as it has been reported that the thermal performance of nanofluid deteriorates in the microchannel when the viscous dissipation is significant [54]. Based on Figure 19, it is noticed that the promising performance of nanofluid in automotive radiator reverses as the tube hydraulic diameter decreases, inviting further study on this phenomenon to analyse the underlying physical significance of the suspension of nanoparticle in smaller-sized automotive radiator.



Fig. 19. Variation of h with D_h for different ϕ in millimeter-sized radiator

4. Conclusions

In the present study, a comprehensive numerical analysis is conducted to investigate the convection performance of millimeter-sized automotive radiator with the suspension of GnP nanoparticles. The temperature-dependent thermophysical properties of GnP-water nanofluid are predicted by using mathematical approach. A modified viscosity correlation for GnP-based nanofluid is developed and validated. It is observed that the thermal conductivity of GnP-water nanofluid intensifies with respect to GnP suspension and temperature, with an enhancement up to 161.8%. On the other hand, the viscosity of GnP-water nanofluid increases with the increase of GnP loading and the decrease of temperature. The present study shows that GnP-water nanofluid demonstrates a greater heat transfer performance in the radiator at high-Reynolds-number and high-nanaoparticle-concentration flow. Besides, \overline{Nu} is enhanced up to 1816% when the aspect ratios of particle and tube are decreased. When $D_h \ge 0.72 \text{ mm}$, \overline{h} increases with the decrease of D_h and the increase of ϕ . However, when $D_h \le 0.72 \text{ mm}$, GnP-water nanofluid deteriorates the thermal performance of the radiator, which is worth further investigation. Based on the present work, it is evident that GnP-water

nanofluid intensifies the heat transfer performance of the millimeter-sized radiator, which could lead to a smaller and a more effective automotive radiator. For future work, it is recommended to include the effects of nanofinned surface, turbulent flow, pulsating flow and hybrid nanoparticle suspension on the heat transfer performance of nanofluid convection in automotive radiator. Besides, experimental validation and multi-objective optimization can be conducted to identify the optimal combination of nanofluid and radiator parameters for heat transfer optimization of nanofluid performance in automotive radiator.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by University Research Grant from University of Technology Sarawak (UCTS/RESEARCH/4/2020/06).

References

- [1] Poder, Thomas G., and Jie He. "Willingness to pay for a cleaner car: The case of car pollution in Quebec and France." *Energy* 130 (2017): 48-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.04.107</u>
- [2] Consumption, Global Transportation Energy. "Examination of Scenarios to 2040 using ITEDD." US Energy Information Administration, Washington (2017).
- [3] Hao, Huong Nai, Ting Tiew Wei, Leslie Toh Kok Lik, and Ngu Heng Jong. "Experimental Study on Convective Heat Transfer Enhancement of Automotive Radiator with Graphene-Nanoplatelet Suspension." *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 101, no. 2 (2023): 60-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.101.2.6072</u>
- [4] Harun, Muhammad Arif, Nor Azwadi Che Sidik, Yutaka Asako, and Tan Lit Ken. "Recent review on preparation method, mixing ratio, and heat transfer application using hybrid nanofluid." *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 95, no. 1 (2022): 44-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.95.1.4453</u>
- [5] Choi, S. US, and Jeffrey A. Eastman. *Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles*. No. ANL/MSD/CP-84938; CONF-951135-29. Argonne National Lab.(ANL), Argonne, IL (United States), 1995.
- [6] Amina, Mostefaoui, Saim Rachid, and Abboudi Saïd. "Numerical Analysis of The Thermo-Convective Behaviour of an Al2O3-H2O Nanofluid Flow Inside a Channel with Trapezoidal Corrugations." *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 89, no. 2 (2022): 114-127. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.89.2.114127</u>
- [7] Urmi, Wajiha Tasnim, Md Mustafizur Rahman, Kumaran Kadirgama, Zetty Akhtar Abd Malek, and Wahaizad Safiei.
 "A Comprehensive Review on Thermal Conductivity and Viscosity of Nanofluids." *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 91, no. 2 (2022): 15-40. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.91.2.1540</u>
- [8] Halim, Nur Fazlin Che, and Nor Azwadi Che Sidik. "Nanorefrigerants: A Review on Thermophysical Properties and Their Heat Transfer Performance." *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Sciences and Engineering Technology* 20, no. 1 (2020): 42-50. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/araset.20.1.4250</u>
- Bahiraei, Mehdi. "A numerical study of heat transfer characteristics of CuO–water nanofluid by Euler–Lagrange approach." Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 123 (2016): 1591-1599. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-5031-0</u>
- [10] Bahiraei, Mehdi, Saeed Nazari, Hossein Moayedi, and Habibollah Safarzadeh. "Using neural network optimized by imperialist competition method and genetic algorithm to predict water productivity of a nanofluid-based solar still equipped with thermoelectric modules." *Powder Technology* 366 (2020): 571-586. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2020.02.055</u>
- [11] Bahiraei, Mehdi, Saeed Nazari, and Habibollah Safarzadeh. "Modeling of energy efficiency for a solar still fitted with thermoelectric modules by ANFIS and PSO-enhanced neural network: A nanofluid application." *Powder Technology* 385 (2021): 185-198. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2021.03.001</u>
- [12] Aswarthanarayana, Sheela, Abhijit Rajan, Rahul Raj, Gautam Ranjan, and Laljee Prasad. "Investigation of thermal properties of graphene-silicone oil nanofluid." *Materials Today: Proceedings* 76 (2023): 376-382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.11.424
- [13] Bahiraei, Mehdi, Saeed Heshmatian, and Mansour Keshavarzi. "Multi-attribute optimization of a novel micro liquid block working with green graphene nanofluid regarding preferences of decision maker." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 143 (2018): 11-21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.07.074</u>
- [14] Jamshidmofid, Mohammad, and Mehdi Bahiraei. "Thermohydraulic assessment of a novel hybrid nanofluid containing cobalt oxide-decorated reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite in a microchannel heat sink with

sinusoidal cavities and rectangular ribs." International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 131 (2022): 105769. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2021.105769</u>

- [15] Ting, Tiew Wei, Yew Mun Hung, and Ningqun Guo. "Viscous dissipative nanofluid convection in asymmetrically heated porous microchannels with solid-phase heat generation." *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer* 68 (2015): 236-247. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2015.09.003</u>
- [16] Sidik, Nor Azwadi Che, Muhammad Noor Afiq Witri Mohd Yazid, and Rizalman Mamat. "Recent advancement of nanofluids in engine cooling system." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 75 (2017): 137-144. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.10.057</u>
- [17] Shah, Tayyab Raza, Hafiz Muhammad Ali, Chao Zhou, Hamza Babar, Muhammad Mansoor Janjua, Mohammad Hossein Doranehgard, Abid Hussain, Uzair Sajjad, Chi-Chuan Wang, and Muhamad Sultan. "Potential evaluation of water-based ferric oxide (Fe2O3-water) nanocoolant: An experimental study." *Energy* 246 (2022): 123441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.123441
- [18] Vajjha, Ravikanth S., Debendra K. Das, and Praveen K. Namburu. "Numerical study of fluid dynamic and heat transfer performance of Al2O3 and CuO nanofluids in the flat tubes of a radiator." *International Journal of Heat and fluid flow* 31, no. 4 (2010): 613-621. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2010.02.016</u>
- [19] Kumar, Ravinder, Parmanand Kumar, and Abhijit Rajan. "Thermal performance of automobile radiator under the influence of hybrid nanofluid." *Materials Today: Proceedings* 76 (2023): 251-255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.10.099
- [20] Arbak, Altay, Azade Attar, Melda Altikatoglu Yapaoz, Mustafa Armağan, Yasar Bulbul, Emir Kasım Demir, and Yasın Karagöz. "Experimental investigation of bimetallic nanoparticles heat transfer characteristics in automotive radiators." Case Studies in Thermal Engineering 43 (2023): 102763. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2023.102763</u>
- [21] Sciences, X., *xGnP Graphene Nanoplatelets-Grade M Techincal Data Sheet*. 2015.
- [22] Naveen, N. S., and P. S. Kishore. "Experimental investigation on heat transfer parameters of an automotive car radiator using graphene/water-ethylene glycol coolant." *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology* 43, no. 3 (2022): 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01932691.2020.1840999</u>
- [23] Yaw, Chong Tak, S. P. Koh, M. Sandhya, K. Kadirgama, Sieh Kiong Tiong, D. Ramasamy, K. Sudhakar, M. Samykano, F. Benedict, and Chung Hong Tan. "Heat Transfer Enhancement by Hybrid Nano Additives—Graphene Nanoplatelets/Cellulose Nanocrystal for the Automobile Cooling System (Radiator)." *Nanomaterials* 13, no. 5 (2023): 808. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13050808</u>
- [24] Selvam, C., D. Mohan Lal, and Sivasankaran Harish. "Enhanced heat transfer performance of an automobile radiator with graphene based suspensions." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 123 (2017): 50-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.05.076
- [25] Ponangi, Babu Rao, V. Krishna, and K. N. Seetharamu. "Performance of compact heat exchanger in the presence of novel hybrid graphene nanofluids." *International Journal of Thermal Sciences* 165 (2021): 106925. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2021.106925</u>
- [26] Arivazhagan, S., and T. Balaji. "Experimental investigation of an automobile radiator using carbon based hybrid nano coolant." *International Journal of Thermal Sciences* 193 (2023): 108497. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2023.108497</u>
- [27] Patel, Jatin, Abhishek Soni, Divya P. Barai, and Bharat A. Bhanvase. "A minireview on nanofluids for automotive applications: Current status and future perspectives." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 219 (2023): 119428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2022.119428
- [28] Toh, Leslie Kok Lik, and Tiew Wei Ting. "Thermal performance of automotive radiator with graphene nanoplatelets suspension." In AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2059, no. 1. AIP Publishing, 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085955</u>
- [29] Abugnah, Elhadi Kh, Wan Saiful-Islam Wan Salim, Abdulhafid M. Elfaghi, and Zamani Ngali. "Comparison of 2D and 3D modelling applied to single phase flow of nanofluid through corrugated channels." *CFD Letters* 14, no. 1 (2022): 128-139. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/cfdl.14.1.128139</u>
- [30] Fayadh, Sameer Bahar, Wissam Hashim Khalil, and H. K. Dawood. "Numerical Study on the Effect of Using CuO-Water Nanofluid as a Heat Transfer Fluid on the Performance of the Parabolic Trough Solar Collector." CFD Letters 15, no. 5 (2023): 120-133. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/cfdl.15.5.120133</u>
- [31] Naraki, M., Peyghambarzadeh, S.M., Hashemabadi, S.H., and Vermahmoudi, Y. "Parametric study of overall heat transfer coefficient of CuO/water nanofluids in a car radiator." *International Journal of Thermal Sciences* 66 (2013): 82-90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2012.11.013</u>
- [32] Elsebay, M., I. Elbadawy, M. H. Shedid, and M. Fatouh. "Numerical resizing study of Al2O3 and CuO nanofluids in the flat tubes of a radiator." *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 40, no. 13-14 (2016): 6437-6450. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2016.01.039</u>

- [33] Pak, Bock Choon, and Young I. Cho. "Hydrodynamic and heat transfer study of dispersed fluids with submicron metallic oxide particles." *Experimental Heat Transfer an International Journal* 11, no. 2 (1998): 151-170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08916159808946559</u>
- [34] Xuan, Yimin, and Wilfried Roetzel. "Conceptions for heat transfer correlation of nanofluids." *International Journal of heat and Mass transfer* 43, no. 19 (2000): 3701-3707. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(99)00369-5</u>
- [35] Ali, Hafiz Muhammad, Hassan Ali, Hassan Liaquat, Hafiz Talha Bin Maqsood, and Malik Ahmed Nadir. "Experimental investigation of convective heat transfer augmentation for car radiator using ZnO–water nanofluids." *Energy* 84 (2015): 317-324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.02.103</u>
- [36] Nan, Ce-Wen, Rainer Birringer, David R. Clarke, and Herbert Gleiter. "Effective thermal conductivity of particulate composites with interfacial thermal resistance." *Journal of Applied Physics* 81, no. 10 (1997): 6692-6699. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.365209</u>
- [37] Sarsam, Wail Sami, Ahmad Amiri, Mohd Nashrul Mohd Zubir, Hooman Yarmand, S. N. Kazi, and A. Badarudin. "Stability and thermophysical properties of water-based nanofluids containing triethanolamine-treated graphene nanoplatelets with different specific surface areas." *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* 500 (2016): 17-31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.04.016</u>
- [38] Selvam, C., D. Mohan Lal, and Sivasankaran Harish. "Thermal conductivity and specific heat capacity of waterethylene glycol mixture-based nanofluids with graphene nanoplatelets." *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry* 129 (2017): 947-955. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-017-6276-6</u>
- [39] Brinkman, Hendrik C. "The viscosity of concentrated suspensions and solutions." *The Journal of chemical physics* 20, no. 4 (1952): 571-571. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1700493</u>
- [40] Einstein, A., *Investigations on the Theory of the Brownian Movement*. 1956: Dover Publication Inc.
- [41] Brenner, Howard, and Duane W. Condiff. "Transport mechanics in systems of orientable particles. IV. Convective transport." Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 47, no. 1 (1974): 199-264. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(74)90093-9</u>
- [42] Batchelor, G. K. "The effect of Brownian motion on the bulk stress in a suspension of spherical particles." *Journal of fluid mechanics* 83, no. 1 (1977): 97-117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112077001062</u>
- [43] Selvam, C., T. Balaji, D. Mohan Lal, and Sivasankaran Harish. "Convective heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop of water-ethylene glycol mixture with graphene nanoplatelets." *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science* 80 (2017): 67-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2016.08.013</u>
- [44] Sadeghinezhad, Emad, Hussein Togun, Mohammad Mehrali, Parvaneh Sadeghi Nejad, Sara Tahan Latibari, Tuqa Abdulrazzaq, Salim Newaz Kazi, and Hendrik Simon Cornelis Metselaar. "An experimental and numerical investigation of heat transfer enhancement for graphene nanoplatelets nanofluids in turbulent flow conditions." *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 81 (2015): 41-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2014.10.006
- [45] Ahammed, Nizar, Lazarus Godson Asirvatham, and Somchai Wongwises. "Effect of volume concentration and temperature on viscosity and surface tension of graphene–water nanofluid for heat transfer applications." *Journal* of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 123 (2016): 1399-1409. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-5034-x</u>
- [46] Park, Kyoung Woo, and Hi Yong Pak. "Flow and heat transfer characteristics in flat tubes of a radiator." Numerical Heat Transfer: Part A: Applications 41, no. 1 (2002): 19-40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/104077802317221429</u>
- [47] Bergman, T.L., Lavine, A.S., Incropera, F.P., and DeWitt, D.P., *Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer*. 8 ed. 2018: Wiley.
- [48] Selvam, C., R. Solaimalai Raja, D. Mohan Lal, and Sivasankaran Harish. "Overall heat transfer coefficient improvement of an automobile radiator with graphene based suspensions." *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 115 (2017): 580-588. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.08.071</u>
- [49] Leong, Kin Yuen, Rahman Saidur, S. N. Kazi, and A. H. Mamun. "Performance investigation of an automotive car radiator operated with nanofluid-based coolants (nanofluid as a coolant in a radiator)." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 30, no. 17-18 (2010): 2685-2692. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2010.07.019</u>
- [50] M'hamed, Beriache, Nor Azwadi Che Sidik, Mohd Faizal Ali Akhbar, Rizalman Mamat, and G. Najafi. "Experimental study on thermal performance of MWCNT nanocoolant in Perodua Kelisa 1000cc radiator system." International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 76 (2016): 156-161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2016.05.024
- [51] Huminic, Gabriela, and Angel Huminic. "Numerical analysis of laminar flow heat transfer of nanofluids in a flattened tube." *International communications in Heat and Mass transfer* 44 (2013): 52-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2013.03.003
- [52] Safikhani, Hamed, and Abbas Abbassi. "Effects of tube flattening on the fluid dynamic and heat transfer performance of nanofluids." Advanced Powder Technology 25, no. 3 (2014): 1132-1141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2014.02.018</u>

- [53] Ghasemi, Seyed Ebrahim, A. A. Ranjbar, and M. J. Hosseini. "Experimental and numerical investigation of circular minichannel heat sinks with various hydraulic diameter for electronic cooling application." *Microelectronics Reliability* 73 (2017): 97-105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microrel.2017.04.028</u>
- [54] Ting, Tiew Wei, Yew Mun Hung, and Ningqun Guo. "Field-synergy analysis of viscous dissipative nanofluid flow in microchannels." *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 73 (2014): 483-491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2014.02.041