

Reduce PAPR In Filtered-CPOFDM using Modified SCS Method System

Azlan Yusof¹, Azlina Idris^{1,*}, Ezmin Abdullah¹, Wan Azani Mustafa²

- ¹ Wireless Communication Technology Group (WiCOT), School of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA,40450 Shah Alam Selangor, Malaysia
- Faculty of Electrical Technology, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, UniCITI Alam Campus, Sungai ChuChuh, 02100 Padang Besar Perlis, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received 9 May 2023 Received in revised form 10 September 2023 Accepted 23 September 2023 Available online 13 October 2023	Filtered Cyclic Prefix Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (F-CPOFDM) is multicarrier waveform that enables high data rates and spectrum effici improvements. The primary drawback of F-CPOFDM is that it has a high peak to ave power ratio (PAPR), which is a characteristic of all multicarrier modulation technic This paper shows the study of the application on Group Codeword Shift (GCS) appr
Keywords: Filtered Cyclic Prefix Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (F- CPOFDM); Peak to Average Power Ratio (PAPR); Group Codeword Shift (GCS); Selective Codeword Shift (SCS); Median Codeword Shift (MCS)	to reduce the peak to average power ratio (PAPR) in a Filtered-CPOFDM system in this article. Additionally, this paper also compared the results of peak to average power ratio (PAPR) reduction with low complexity in Filtered-CPOFDM using a Group Codeword Shift (GCS) approach, Selective Codeword Shift (SCS), and Conventional CPOFDM. The simulation results indicate that the Group Codeword Shift (GCS) approach reduces peak PAPR by 45.95 percent when compared to conventional Filtered-CPOFDM, 26.13 percent when compared to the Selective Codeword Shift (SCS) method and 22.53 percent when compared to the Median Codeword Shift (MCS) method.

1. Introduction

Various research and procedures have been offered to overcome high PAPR values by introducing a few tactics that may be grouped into three primary categories [1-5]. Selective Mapping (SLM), Partial Transmit Sequence (PTS), Selective Codeword Shift (SCS), Interleaving, Tone Reservation (TR), Tone Injection (TI), and Active Constellation Extension are some of the Signal Scrambling Techniques (ACE). Clipping and filtering, Companding, Peak Windowing, and Envelop Scaling are the other Signal Distortion Techniques. Finally, there are two types of signal coding techniques: Block coding and Turbo coding. Previous study has shown that PAPR reduction is possible, but it comes at a cost, like high computational complexity, degraded bit error rate (BER) performance, side information, loss data rates, bandwidth, loss spectral efficiency, and distortion. There are two types of Block Coding techniques: Arithmetic coding and Huffman coding. Clipping and filtering is the basic strategy for lowering PAPR, and it is based on a clipping level that meets the signal to quantization noise ratio

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: azlina831@uitm.edu.my

https://doi.org/10.37934/araset.32.3.324333

(SQNR) [7]. In comparison to SLM and Clipping and Filtering techniques, PTS approach reduces PAPR value significantly [8].

Multiple phase rotations are performed to the constellation points in the Selected Mapping (SLM) approach, where the one that minimises time signal peak is utilized, and selective mapping involves generating a wide collection of vectors with the smallest resultant PAPR is picked. This approach selects the lowest PAPR transmit signal from a group of suitably varied signals that all represent the identical data [4,9]. SLM has benefits such as no distortion and an independent number of carriers, but it also has drawbacks like side information and poor BER efficiency [10-12]. Due of this drawback, PAPR has been reduced using a mix of SLM and clipping techniques [5]. The PAPR value is improved by roughly 3.4dB using a modified SLM with M-QAM approach [8]. The PAPR value is reduced using the SLM approach, but the system's data rate and computing complexity are sacrificed. Few parameters must be considered when deciding which approach may lower a high PAPR value, including BER depreciation at the receiver, data rate loss, computational complexity, power increase in transmitted signal, PAPR reduction capabilities, and bandwidth expansion [13-15].

When compared to the original signal and conventional SLM, the Selective Codeword Shift (SCS) approach has demonstrated a considerable improvement in PAPR reduction; yet this technique is only applicable for modulation greater than 4 QAM or more than 2 bits per symbol. In terms of the IFFT block employed, this approach has a lower computational complexity than SLM, and there is no multiplication of phase factor involved in the transmission operation [16,17]. The codeword is circulant shifting in the SCS approach, and the time to complete this circulant shifting is greater since the codeword travels a lengthy journey. As a result, the PAPR and BER values in the SCS approach will not be reduced as much.

This paper present Group Codeword Shifting (GCS) is introduced, in which the codeword is separated into two groups (group A and group B) to create a reduced route for codeword shifting. The simulation results indicate that the Group Codeword Shift (GCS) approach reduces peak PAPR by 45.95 percent when compared to conventional Filtered-CPOFDM, 26.13 percent when compared to the Selective Codeword Shift (SCS) approach and 22.53 percent when compared to the Median Codeword Shift (MCS) approach. From the result, it shows the GCS approach outperform out SCS and MCS approach because GSC approach has a lowest value of PAPR and BER compare than others.

2. Methodology

2.1 Filtered-Cyclic Prefix Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (F-CPOFDM)

Filtered Cyclic Prefix Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (F-CPOFDM) is an additional multicarrier modulation approach that had be considered as a prospective signal for the implementation of 5G. F-CPOFDM potentially just keeps the characteristics of OFDM adopted in 4G, but also demonstrates great flexibility in spectrum use depending on a range of application scenarios, backward and forward stability, and improved OOBE [18-20]. During OFDM decoding, the data is passed to the transmitter filter, which forms the transmission F-CPOFDM output. The receiver filter, which is identical to the transmitter filter, is initially applied to the F-CPOFDM receiver signal. The data collected from nearby transmissions is filtered out by the filter of the receiver. For this outcome, the receiver filter reduces the effects of other signals, ensuring that the F-OFDM data is sent without distraction by numerous additional signals [21,22].

The primary target of introducing the filter to the transmitter is to restrict the excessive OOBE value of the OFDM system to enable parallel transmission and decrease delay [21,22]. With an outcome, the system's spectrum efficiency enhances, enabling it to fulfil the standards for 5G innovation. Since the filter length surpasses the cyclic prefix (CP) duration at the transmitter and the

power distribution across the samples rises, the large PAPR might be seen as a possible barrier for the FCPOFDM system. The signal's average power is dropped as a consequence of this operation, and the difference between the F-CPOFDM signal's peak output and average output is extended. As an outcome, the PAPR of the F-CPOFDM system is better than that of the OFDM system [21,22].

In F-CPOFDM, filter layout is important to fulfil frequency localization of the signal and more flexibility between time and frequency localization. This results from the time-domain divergence caused by the desired frequency-domain localization. The filter architecture that can provide a good equilibrium between the temporal and frequency localization of the filter. In particular situations, the soft truncation of a filter is applied using a time-domain window with smooth transitions [23-25]. The filter used for the F-CPOFDM must contain some of the features mentioned in the list, such as a flat passband for the sub-carriers. In an effort to limit guard band consumption, the filter also needs a rapid transition. Thirdly, there must be enough stop band attenuation [25,26]. The sinc filter, commonly referred to as an ideal low pass filter, demonstrates each of the qualities listed above. This rectangular-function filter eliminates most frequency elements over a cutoff frequency. The sinc filter impulse response is obtained from the inverse Fourier transform (IFT) of the filter's frequency response [25,26].

The window that must be used to edit this impulse reaction transforms the infinite impulse reaction into a constrained reaction and creates a smooth negligible transition at both ends. There are several waves in the decreased sinc function's output. To stop these waves, extend the toneoffset low pass filter on both ends. Tone offset refers to the extra number of subcarriers produced to the output [26,27]. In attempt to retrieve the desired signal on the receiver section, a fitting filter that connects a known signal with the unknown signal is employed in the receiver section. The received signal combines the desired signal with AWGN. The purpose of the fitting filter is to distinguish the desired signal from the noisy received signal. In this circumstance, when the noise power is larger than the signal power, the SNR value is raised. At the receiver section of F-CPOFDM, a fitting filter is used to increase the SNR value [26,27].

2.2 Filtered-CPOFDM (F-CPOFDM) Based on GCS

The construction of F-CPOFDM signals for N subcarriers starts with the serial-to-parallel transformation of input data into information symbols. The information symbol will then be transferred into the constellation point using a 64-QAM modulating procedure. Lastly, IFFT will turn the modulated symbol into an F-CPOFDM signal. The entire procedure is depicted in Figure 1. In the yellow circular box following the serial-to-parallel conversion, the GCS method is encoded.



Fig. 1. Block diagram of Filtered-CPOFDM GCS

Throughout this research, the Group Codeword Shifting approach is utilized to construct new codewords via manipulating codeword architectures, followed by a permutation process (circulant shift) to produce a scrambled data sequence for enhanced PAPR reduction. This Group Codeword Shifting approach focuses on the layout of the codeword and the architecture of the bits to improve PAPR; by altering these two variables, a new codeword with a smaller PAPR is generated.



Fig. 2. Flowchart of the simulation process

As illustrated in Figure 3, R indicates the binary series codeword with r cumulative input bits and is denoted as R = [R1, R2,..., Rr]. The serial-to-parallel converter will split the codeword series across z sub-blocks, indicated by R = [R1, R2,..., Rz], with y bits per symbol per sub-block, where z = r/y. Thus, the description for every sub-codeword block's is R1 = [R1, R2, R3, ..., Ry], R2 = [Ry+1, Ry+2, Ry+3, ..., R2y], and so on till Rz.



Fig. 3. GCS sub-block

As indicated in Figure 4, the initial phase of the group codeword shifting approach is to adjust the architecture of the codeword by separating it into two portions, A and B. The new codeword is developed in the second stage of development by executing the circulant shift across section A and part B individually.



Fig. 4. Group Codeword Shifting structure

Table 1 illustrates the location of bits following number of shift operations in order to enhance explanation. The beginning location of the codeword bits is denoted by Codeword R1,0. Part A's new bit location will be denoted as Codeword R1,1 as a result of the shifting action between A and B. R' = [R1', R2',..., Rz'] expresses the updated option codeword series. Ultimately, the alternate Filtered-CPOFDM signal with the lower PAPR value will be picked for transmission.

Table 1				
Bit arrangement of codeword for Group				
Codeword Shifting Method				
Sub-block codeword	Desition of hits			
bits, R z, s	POSICION OF DILS			
Codeword, R _{1,0}	R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6			
Codeword shift 1, R _{1,0}	R4, R2, R3, R1, R5, R6			
Codeword shift 2, R _{1,2}	R1, R4, R3, R2, R5, R6			
Codeword shift 3, R _{1,3}	R1, R2, R4, R3, R5, R6			
Codeword shift 4, R _{1,4}	R5, R2, R3, R4, R1, R6			
Codeword shift 5, R _{1,5}	R1, R5, R3, R4, R2, R6			
Codeword shift 6, R _{1,6}	R1, R2, R5, R4, R3, R6			
Codeword shift 7, R _{1,7}	R6, R2, R3, R4, R5, R1			
Codeword shift 8, R _{1,8}	R1, R6, R3, R4, R5, R2			
Codeword shift 9, R _{1,9}	R1, R2, R6, R4, R5, R3			

Computation will be utilized to analyse the PAPR performance of GCS. In the simulation, N = 128 symbols of random input are created and mapped using 64-QAM modulation. The signal will be delivered through an AWGN channel. The cyclic prefix with a length of 1/4 is introduced to the Filtered-CPOFDM symbols to reduce intersymbol interference (ISI). Table 2 provides a comprehensive listing of all simulation-related parameters.

Table 2

Simulation	parameters	for	3 rd	Generation
Partnership Project Long Term Evolution (3GPP				
System [35]				
Parameter		Valu	ie	
Bandwidth (BN	∕ 1)	1.25	MHz	
Sampling frequency		1.92 MHz		
Sampling time		5.208 x 10 ⁻⁷ sec		
IFFT size		128		
Used subcarrie	er	76		
Modulation te	chnique	64Q	AM	
Cyclic prefix length		1/4		
Channel model		Rayleigh		

3. Result and Discussion

In this section, the performance of the proposed GCS approach is compared with another PAPR and BER reduction approach. Figure 5 shows the PAPR effectiveness among MCS, SCS and GCS on Original Filtered-CPOFDM.



Fig. 5. PAPR performance for GCS, MCS, SCS and Original on Filtered-CPOFDM

Table 3 demonstrates the PAPR assessment for Filtered-CPOFDM on MCS, SCS and GCS. Filtered-CPOFDM's original value is 11.1dB. SCS is 19.82 % and MCS is 23.42% better than Filtered-CPOFDM, while GCS is 26.13% better than SCS and 22.53% better than MCS at 6.0dB. By changing the way, the codewords are put together, the GCS PAPR effectiveness will be affected.

Table 3					
PAPR analysis of GCS, MCS, SCS and Original Filtered-					
CPOFDM (PAPR = 10^{-3})					
	PAPR	% of Improvement			
Original	11.1	-			
SCS	8.90	19.82			
MCS	8.50	23.42			
GCS	6.00	45.95			

Figure 6 depicts the BER efficiency of MCS, SCS against GCS on Original Filtered-CPOFDM.



Fig. 6. BER performance for GCS, MCS, SCS and Original on Filtered-CPOFDM

Whereas the information in Table 4 illustrates the BER analysis for Filtered-CPOFDM on MCS, SCS and GCS. The original value of Filtered-CPOFDM is 14.1dB; the percentage of progress for MCS and SCS versus Filtered-CPOFDM is 0% at 14.1dB, however GCS manages to obtain a larger percentage of progress than MCS and SCS at 5.67 % at 13.3dB. By adjusting the codeword layout in light of its implications on GCS BER efficiency.

Table 4						
BER analysis of GCS, MCS, SCS and Original Filtered-						
CPOFDM and Original CPOFDM (BER = 10^{-5})						
	BER	% of Improvement				
Original	14.1	-				
SCS	14.1	-				
MCS	14.1	-				
GCS	13.3	5.67				

4. Conclusions

Throughout this study, the GCS show a better approach comparing with MCS, SCS and the original signal Filtered-CPOFDM for reducing PAPR and BER in the system, which is the primary drawback for all multicarrier modulation technique. The GCS has a lower computational complexity than SCS, MCS and the original Filtered-CPOFDM by lowering the system's IFFT block use and this contribute to 45.95% reduction in excessive PAPR has been observed and the minimize BER value. Nonetheless, this approach is only useful for modulations more than 4 QAM or greater than two bits per symbol. This GCS method's operations will be implemented on the transceiver. To evaluate the PAPR performance of the GCS system in other modulation approaches for other purposes, more study is required.

Acknowledgement

The authors would convey their profound appreciation and gratitude to the College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia for permitting us to perform – this study. Many thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education for the financial assistance received using Fundamental Research Grant (FRGS/1/2018/TK04/UITM/02/29) and Universiti Teknologi MARA (600-IRMI/FRGS 5/3 (016/2019). Special thanks to those who contributed to this project directly or indirectly.

References

- [1] Deshpande, Rohini, and D. J. Pete. "Optimized peak to average power ratio (papr) reduction technique for ofdm." In 2017 International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCUBEA), pp. 1-5. IEEE, 2017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCUBEA.2017.8463796</u>
- [2] Bisht, Mamta, and Alok Joshi. "Various techniques to reduce PAPR in OFDM systems: a survey." International Journal of Signal Processing, Image Processing and Pattern Recognition 8, no. 11 (2015): 195-206. https://doi.org/10.14257/ijsip.2015.8.11.18
- [3] Khan, Mohsin, Samima Iqbal, and Waseem Asghar. "A review paper on: The papr analysis of orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM)." *International journal* (2014). <u>https://doi.org/10.5121/ijmnct.2014.4101</u>
- [4] Ann, P. Preenu, and Renu Jose. "Comparison of PAPR reduction techniques in OFDM systems." In 2016 International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES), pp. 1-5. IEEE, 2016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/CESYS.2016.7889995</u>
- [5] Garg, Arushi, and Garima Saini. "A papr reduction anaysis of various techniques in ofdm system." In 2016 International Conference on Micro-Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering (ICMETE), pp. 349-354. IEEE, 2016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMETE.2016.57</u>
- [6] Idris, Azlina, Nur Atiqah Md Deros, Idris Taib, Murizah Kassim, Mohd Danial Rozaini, and Darmawaty Mohd Ali. "PAPR reduction using huffman and arithmetic coding techniques in F-OFDM system." *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics* 7, no. 2 (2018): 257-263. <u>https://doi.org/10.11591/eei.v7i2.1169</u>
- [7] Kotade, Amol B., Anil B. Nandgaonkar, and Sanjay L. Nalbalwar. "Peak-to-average power ratio reduction techniques in OFDM: A review and challenges." In 2018 International Conference On Advances in Communication and Computing Technology (ICACCT), pp. 319-324. IEEE, 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACCT.2018.8529645</u>
- [8] Yusof, Azlan, Azlina Idris, and Ezmin Abdullah. "PAPR Reduction in FOFDM System Using Group Codeword Shifting Technique."
- [9] Sohn, Insoo. "New SLM scheme to reduce the PAPR of OFDM signals using a genetic algorithm." *ICT Express* 2, no. 2 (2016): 63-66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icte.2016.05.002</u>
- [10] Rahman, Md Moshiur, Md Nur Al Safa Bhuiyan, Muhammad Sajjadur Rahim, and Sabbir Ahmed. "A computationally efficient selected mapping technique for reducing PAPR of OFDM." *Telecommunication Systems* 65 (2017): 637-647. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11235-016-0257-0</u>
- [11] Abdullah, Ezmin, Azlina Idris, and Azilah Saparon. "Modified selective mapping scheme with low complexity for minimizing high peak-average power ratio in orthogonal frequency division multiplexing system." In AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 1774, no. 1. AIP Publishing, 2016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4965092</u>
- [12] Abdullah, Ezmin, Azlina Idris, and Azilah Saparon. "Performance Evaluation of Modified SLM Technique in OFDM System Using Selected Codeword Shift." In *MATEC Web of Conferences*, vol. 75, p. 06003. EDP Sciences, 2016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/20167506003</u>
- [13] Prasad, Sanjana, and Ramesh Jayabalan. "PAPR reduction in OFDM systems using modified SLM with different phase sequences." Wireless Personal Communications 110 (2020): 913-929. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-019-06763-7</u>
- [14] Fallahzadeh, Milad, and Mahmoud Ferdosizadeh. "Blind SLM for PAPR reduction of Alamouti DSFBC systems." IET Communications 11, no. 3 (2017): 451-457. <u>https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-com.2016.0340</u>
- [15] Haque, Snikdho Sworov, Md Munjure Mowla, Md Mehedi Hasan, and Shaumendra Kumer Bain. "An algorithm for PAPR reduction by SLM technique in OFDM with hadamard matrix row factor." In 2015 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Information Communication Technology (ICEEICT), pp. 1-7. IEEE, 2015. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEICT.2015.7307348</u>
- [16] Abdullah, Ezmin, and Azlina Idris. "Selective Codeword Shift (SCS) Technique for PAPR Reduction of OFDM Systems." Jurnal Teknologi 78, no. 5-9 (2016): 59-65. <u>https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.8788</u>
- [17] Abdullah, Ezmin, Azlina Idris, and Azilah Saparon. "Minimizing high PAPR in OFDM system using circulant shift codeword." Jurnal Teknologi 78, no. 2 (2016): 135-140. <u>https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.4890</u>

- [18] Peng, Yaqiu, and Mingqi Li. "A novel PTS scheme for PAPR reduction of filtered-OFDM signals without side information." *Tehnički vjesnik* 27, no. 4 (2020): 1305-1310. <u>https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20191012142626</u>
- [19] Mehra, Nidhi. "Enhancing PAPR Reduction in 5G Systems: A Novel Precoding Approach for UF-OFDM and Filtered-OFDM Modulations". International Journal of Control and Automation 11 (3) (2018): 17 - 25.
- [20] Wang, Shendi, John S. Thompson, and Peter M. Grant. "Closed-form expressions for ICI/ISI in filtered OFDM systems for asynchronous 5G uplink." *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 65, no. 11 (2017): 4886-4898. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2017.2698478</u>
- [21] Vishnoi, Raksha, Saurabh Gaur, and Ashish Verma. "An Overview of Various Waveform Contenders Based on OFDM for 5G Communication." International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development Volume-2, no. Issue-4 (June 30, 2018): 2462–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.31142/ijtsrd15636</u>
- [22] Taher, Montadar Abas, Hussein Sultan Radhi, and Ahmed K. Jameil. "Enhanced F-OFDM candidate for 5G applications." *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing* 12 (2021): 635-652. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02046-3
- [23] Zhang, Lei, Ayesha Ijaz, Pei Xiao, Mehdi M. Molu, and Rahim Tafazolli. "Filtered OFDM systems, algorithms, and performance analysis for 5G and beyond." *IEEE Transactions on Communications* 66, no. 3 (2017): 1205-1218. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2017.2771242
- [24] Sahrab, Ammar A., and Alaa Doohee Yaseen. "Filtered orthogonal frequency division multiplexing for improved 5G systems." Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics 10, no. 4 (2021): 2079-2087. <u>https://doi.org/10.11591/eei.v10i4.3119</u>
- [25] Al-Jawhar, Yasir Amer, Khairun N. Ramli, Montadar Abas Taher, Nor Shahida M. Shah, Salama A. Mostafa, and Bashar Ahmed Khalaf. "Improving PAPR performance of filtered OFDM for 5G communications using PTS." *ETRI Journal* 43, no. 2 (2021): 209-220. <u>https://doi.org/10.4218/etrij.2019-0358</u>
- [26] Jayan, Gopika, and Aswathy K. Nair. "Performance analysis of filtered OFDM for 5G." In 2018 international conference on wireless communications, signal processing and networking (WiSPNET), pp. 1-5. IEEE, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1109/WiSPNET.2018.8538544
- [27] Al-Gharabally, Mishal, Ali F. Almutairi, and Aparna Krishna. "Performance analysis of the two-piecewise linear companding technique on filtered-OFDM systems." *IEEE Access* 9 (2021): 48793-48802. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3068371</u>
- [28] Pelcat, Maxime, Slaheddine Aridhi, Jonathan Piat, Jean-François Nezan, Maxime Pelcat, Slaheddine Aridhi, Jonathan Piat, and Jean-François Nezan. "3GPP long term evolution." *Physical Layer Multi-Core Prototyping: A Dataflow-Based Approach for LTE eNodeB* (2013): 9-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-4210-2_2</u>
- [29] Paterson, Kenneth G., and Vahid Tarokh. "On the existence and construction of good codes with low peak-toaverage power ratios." *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 46, no. 6 (2000): 1974-1987. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/18.868473</u>