

Agile Decision-Making as an Alternative for Decision Making's Complexities in Information Management to Flood Rescue and Recovery: A Systematic Literature Review

Nur Fatihah Ismail¹, Suriati Akmal^{2,*}, Mohd Khairul Nizam Suhaimin², Massila Kamalrudin³, Amirul Affiq⁴, Halimaton Hakimi⁵, Siti Syazwani Azmi⁶

- ¹ Institute of Technology Management and Entrepreneurship (IPTK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia
- ² Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Technology (FTKMP), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia
- ³ Faculty of Information and Communication Technology (FTMK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia
- ⁴ Pejabat Setiausaha Kerajaan Terengganu, Wisma Darul Iman, 20503 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia
- ⁵ School of Computing, Asia Pacific University of Technology & Innovation (APU), Taman Teknologi Malaysia, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- ⁶ Graduate School of Fisheries and Environmental Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, 852-8131, Japan

ABSTRACT

There are several decision-making complexities that must be addressed in information management of flood rescue and recovery. All of these complexities lead to several complications, such as slow rescue operations, a rise in the number of victims, and numerous other flaws. As a result, in order to accomplish optimal decision making, the "agile concept" must be adopted. Therefore, this paper aims to explore the complexities faced by decision makers and identify the factors of agile decision making for an enhanced effectiveness of information management in flood rescue and recovery. 79 relevant articles published between 2014 to 2023 have been collected to go through systematic literature review. It includes the previous studies of complexities for information management in flood rescue and recovery Next, the findings showed that there eight significant factors of agile decision making that can enhance information management effectiveness in flood rescue and recovery. The factors are integrated collaboration, virtual knowledge, data quality, leadership role, person abilities, cost allocation, community engagement and real time data. The findings of this study further show that agile decision making can be alternative for reducing complexities of decision making by enhancing effectiveness of information management in flood rescue and recovery. The results of this study can be used as the fundamental to develop an effective model of agile decision making to enhance information management in flood rescue and recovery.

Keywords:

Information management; flood rescue and recovery; agile decision making; systematic literature review

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: suriatiakmal@utem.edu.my

https://doi.org/10.37934/araset.58.1.89105

1. Introduction

Decision making is the method of choosing by deciding on a course of action, acquiring data, and assessing potential solutions. The purpose of decision-making studies is to comprehend and examine the intricate mechanisms that underlie human decision-making skills, preconceptions, and strategies [1]. Numerous fields, such as psychology, economics, neuroscience, and management, are used in decision-making studies. In information management, decision-making is important because it allows efficient and effective use of information resources to accomplish the objectives of the organisation [2]. Currently, inefficient decision-making always become the issue, especially when it comes to information management for flood rescue and recovery. Making bad decisions can have major consequences and ineffective response action when it comes to flood rescue and recovery. Ineffective prioritisation and resource allocation may result in a shortage of essential materials, equipment, and manpower during rescue and recovery operations [3]. As a result, emergency responses can take longer, evacuation attempts might be less successful, and it might be more difficult to provide critical aid to the affected communities. Inadequate decision-making can also lead to an inadequate risk assessment and set of preparatory measures [4]. Failure to properly understand the possible risks and vulnerabilities associated with floods may result in inadequate planning and preparation for rescue and recovery operations [5].

The Malaysian flood disaster has resulted in a total loss of life and the devastation of homes, vehicles, businesses, the agricultural industry, the industrial industry, public assets, and infrastructure [6]. According to the Prime Minister's Department and Department of Statistics Malaysia's report, the cost of damages in Malaysia's Flood 2021 had reach RM6.1 billion, the total damages caused by floods were RM6.1 billion, or 0.40 percent of nominal Gross domestic product (GDP). The total living quarters losses are RM1.6 billion, commercial real estate losses RM0.5 billion, auto losses RM1.0 billion, agricultural losses RM90.6 million, industrial losses RM0.9 billion, and public assets and infrastructure losses RM2.0 billion. Improving information management in flood rescue and recovery is an ongoing attempt within flood management to reduce the impact loss caused by flood disaster. A lot of researchers have highlighted the importance of flood rescue and recovery in this matter. Muhammad *et al.*, [7] present a novel approach to flood response and recovery decision-making with the goal of creating resilient adaptation decisions. A recent study by Zhu *et al.*, [8] covered information management in flood rescue and recovery, with a focus on examining how disaster readiness training improves senior families' comprehension of flood disaster preparedness and handling.

Information management is critical in flood rescue and recovery, particularly in decision making. An improved information management will result in improve flood rescue and recovery and decisionmaking skills, for example, have improved. It will improve data accessibility, timeliness, and accuracy, enabling for more effective coordination and resource allocation during flood rescue and recovery operations [80]. The "agile concept" must be implemented in order to achieve the optimal decision making. As a result, for flood rescue and recovery, agile decision making should be applied to flood information management. Hence, the purpose of this paper is to identify and analyse the key challenges inherent in information management for flood rescue and recovery operations, with a focus on understanding the complexities and intricacies that decision-makers encounter. Then, this paper will also provide insight on agile decision-making's factors that affect effectiveness information management for flood rescue and recovery. The finding of the papers should contribute to the existing body of knowledge in term of helping in introducing a novel approach to decision-making in the context of flood rescue and recovery. By applying agile decision-making concepts, the research offers a fresh perspective on how decision-makers can adapt to rapidly changing conditions and improve the efficiency and effectiveness of their actions. By examining information management through an agile lens, this paper will help to advance people's understanding of decision-making in flood rescue and recovery while also providing insightful analysis and useful practical advice to lessen the effects of flood disasters and improve overall disaster preparedness in an easy-to-understand manner. These structures are separated into three sections: Section 2 addresses the research questions while detailing the SLR procedure. The findings will be presented in Section 3. Section 4 concludes with a discussion of the conclusion and future projects.

2. Methodology

For comprehensive review is needed for better research ap finding. As implemented by [79], this paper also has set up protocol of review to search for relevant studies by using systematic review approach. Since the study will focus on decision making difficulties and agile decision-making variables, the fundamental steps are separated into three parts: planning, conducting, and reporting.

2.1 Planning the Review

In the planning phase, research questions are defined. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are created, relevant databases are found, search strings are created, the search is run, studies are chosen and screened, data are extracted, analysed, and synthesized, data quality and bias are assessed, findings are interpreted and reported, and limitations and future research directions are noted. The research questions are defined as in Table 1.

Table 1

Resea	rch question
ID	Research question
RQ 1	What are the decision making's complexities in information management for flood rescue and recovery?
RQ 2	What are agile decision-making's factors that affect effectiveness information management for flood rescue
	and recovery?

During SLR planning, the following questions were designed for data extractions as shown in Table 1. The SLR was conducted to address two main objectives: The first objective is to study the decision making's complexities in information management for flood rescue and recovery. The second objective is to study on the agile decision-making's factors that affect effectiveness information management for flood rescue and recovery.

The search method was carried out when the research questions were completed. Digital libraries and databases that were searched had been used and improved the search strings as their starting point. The collection of digital databases is based on the most popular and well-known databases in order to simplify and broaden the range of linked resources. Table 2 displays a list of the online resources that were utilised to search the publications in this study.

Table 2	
Digital database lib	rary
Sources	Links
Scopus	scopus.com
Science Direct	sciencedirect.com
Google Scholar	scholar.google.com
Elsevier	Elsevier.com
ACM Digital Library	dl.acm.org
IEEE Xplore	ieeexplore.iee.org

The search keywords are "agile decision making", "Information Management" and other possible synonyms. Table 3 shows the search keywords used to achieve this objective. The search string is formulated based on the following keywords and Boolean operators: (Agile Decision Making OR Dynamic Decision Making" OR "Adaptive Decision Making" OR "Selection") AND ("Information governance" OR "Content Administration" OR "Information Handling" AND ("Flood Relief and Rehabilitation" OR "Flood Emergency Response" OR "Flood Crisis Management". The comprehensive search expressions ensure all the related articles are included.

Table 3	
Synonym of the keywords	
Words	Synonym term as keywords
Agile decision making	Dynamic decision making
	Adaptive decision making
	Decision making = Selection/Choice/Evaluation
Information management	Information governance
	Content administration
	Information handling
Flood rescue and recovery	Flood relief and rehabilitation
	Flood emergency response
	Flood crisis management

The established criteria for inclusion and exclusion in the study are strictly followed when comparing and analysing the paper as part of the systematic literature review. The pertinent information is carefully extracted from each study after it has been determined that it satisfies these requirements, with an emphasis on publication information, study aims, techniques, important findings, and any pertinent statistical or qualitative data. Then, when appropriate, both quantitative and qualitative findings are combined and presented in a narrative fashion according to the determined themes. This method allowed the researcher to make insightful deductions, spot patterns, and provide a thorough evaluation of the chosen literature, guaranteeing the quality and robustness of the investigation.

2.2 Conducting the Review

The selecting process is covered by the key phases described in this section. It starts with a search for papers and ends with the final selection of papers for analysis and evaluation. For the purpose of this paper, relevant studies were gathered using the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed in Table 4. The unrelated papers are decided after reviewing the title, abstract, and conclusion of the primary identified studies.

Table 4

Inclusion and exclusion of criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Studies were considered if they had a direct related on flood rescue and recovery operations, information management, decision-making, or disaster preparedness in the context of flood disasters.	Irrelevant Topics: Studies that did not directly address flood rescue and recovery, information management, or decision-making were excluded.
Peer-Reviewed Publications: In order to maintain a high level of research quality, only peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and academic publications were taken into consideration for inclusion.	Non-English Publications: Due to limitations in translation resources, non-English language publications were excluded from our review.
Publication Date: To reflect the most recent advancements in the discipline, papers published between January 2014 and September 2023 are taken into consideration.	Incomplete or Low-Quality Studies: Studies with insufficient information, incomplete datasets, or lacking rigorous research methodologies were excluded

After these procedures were used, 79 studies were kept as shown in Figure 1. Additionally, the study has examined and evaluated the publications by looking at their content. At this point, irrelevant papers were disregarded, and the pertinent studies will now be analysed. Only 60 of the 79 articles were selected for further review. The comparison analysis and reporting of the connected studies were being analysed in the next phases.

STUDIES FOUND		
* Keyword searching	CANDIDATE STUDIE	
*Select paper title that match with research question *191 paper obtained	*The abstract match with research question *79 paper studied	SELECTED STUDIES *Paper result match with research question *60 papers

Fig. 1. Selected studies

For the conducting review stage, all the selected articles from the first stage were interpreted and analysed. The extraction of relevant data during this stage is essential in order to synthesize the evidence. The results presentation will be based on the analysis of research questions.

2.3 Reporting the Review

The data extracted from the 60 primary papers were used to formulate answers to the three research questions given. This paper closely followed the guidelines provided by Kitchenham when preparing the SLR report.

3. Results

3.1 What are the Decision Making's Complexities for Flood Rescue and Recovery (RQ1)

Due to a number of interrelated issues, the decision-making process in information management for flood rescue and recovery is challenging. Based on the matrix in Table 5, there are many studies that reveal the complexities of decision making faced by the decision makers in flood rescue and recovery. Unique difficulties are brought on by the dynamic nature of flood events, the necessity for quick responses, and the requirement for precise information. According to Clar *et al.*, [10], decision-makers must decide quickly whether to evacuate affected areas and call in emergency response teams when heavy rains cause flash floods. Decision-making procedures become more difficult as a result of the urgency to reach vulnerable groups and distribute resources in a constrained amount of time [11].

Decision-makers must manage a significant amount of data from several sources during flood disasters, including rainfall statistics, river water levels, satellite imaging, and real-time social media updates [10-13]. It can be difficult to manage and integrate this variety of information in order to develop precise situational awareness. Additionally, making sure the data is accurate and trustworthy is essential for decision-making [14-16]. According to Esteban et al., [17] in order to assess the severity of and potential effects of flooding, decision-makers must rely on precise rainfall data from meteorological authorities. However, problems like data discrepancies, gaps, or delays in data availability can make the decision-making process more difficult. Collaboration between numerous stakeholders, including government agencies, emergency responders, community organizations, and volunteers, is necessary for flood rescue and recovery activities [17]. Due to the various roles, responsibilities, and communication styles among these various organizations, ensuring efficient coordination and information exchange can be difficult. Resource allocation during flood disasters frequently involves trade-offs and prioritisation difficulties for decision-makers [10,12,13,18]. For instance, they need to prioritise sending rescue teams and supplies to the riskiest places, taking into account things like population density, accessibility, and the intensity of flooding. Decision-making is necessary to manage constrained resources and conflicting priorities. In the flood rescue and recovery process, decision-makers also face ethical conundrums [16]. A difficult ethical dilemma can be finding a balance between saving lives and meeting the demands of various populations [14]. In addition, Malaysian decision-makers work hard to modify their strategies in order to incorporate what they have learned from previous flood catastrophes [15]. For instance, they examine past flood responses to pinpoint areas that could be improved, such boosting early warning systems, boosting community involvement, or boosting infrastructure resilience. Effective flood management requires incorporating these acquired lessons into decision-making procedures and encouraging a culture of adaptation.

The lack of complete and reliable data is one of the main issues with information management for flood rescue. Information may be incomplete or unavailable in emergency situations, making it difficult for decision-makers to gain a complete knowledge of the flood occurrence. Implementing strong data gathering and reporting systems, such as real-time monitoring networks and standardised data protocols, can increase the accessibility and precision of information, claims Islam *et al.*, [19]. Collaboration amongst stakeholders can guarantee the accuracy and dependability of data. According to Zhu *et al.*, [8], decision-making during flood rescue efforts is sometimes hampered by severe time restrictions. Decision-makers must act quickly to prevent property damage and save lives. However, the pressure of the circumstance could cause hasty or ill-informed choices. Since standardised decision-making frameworks and protocols can help speed the process and permit quick but informed conclusions, Nakhaei *et al.*, [20] has undertaken Geographic Information System (GIS) and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in their research. The ability of decision-makers to make quick decisions while taking into account available information can be improved with regular training and simulations.

Since decision-makers require a comprehensive understanding of the situation in order to make wise decisions, integrating these various data sources is a substantial difficulty [21]. Enhancing decision-making capabilities can be achieved by implementing centralised data repositories or

platforms that permit the seamless integration and interchange of information [22]. For efficient decision-making in flood rescue, gaining a thorough situational awareness is essential. Decision-makers would find it difficult to allocate resources efficiently and organise rescue efforts without a good grasp of how the flood conditions are changing [23].

Table 5

Recent studies related to the decision making's complexities for flood rescue and recovery

Author	Country	Method / theory	Type of complexities
[8]	China	Fuzzy-DEMATEL method	Difficulty in prioritizing information
[11]	USA	Case study method	Slow information data processing;
			insufficient data analysis tools
[19]	USA	machine-learning approach designed	Information data fragmentation;
			limited information data sharing
[18]	Germany	Survey & 366 experts review	Limited resource allocation;
			evacuation strategies; prioritization of
			rescue efforts
[17]	Netherlands	Systematic literature review	Lack of Information filter; unreliable
			validation; limited data-driven decision-
			making culture
[15]	Ghana	In-depth interviews, focus group discussions	Decision-making under pressure;
		354-household survey	access limitations; contingency planning
[10]	Austria	A mixed-methods approach that integrates a	Decision-making under uncertainty;
		discourse analysis with the evaluation of	difficulty of coordination; bureaucracy;
		flood risk exposure, social vulnerability,	emotional and psychological
(a)		coping skills, and adaptive capacity.	considerations; unengaged to community;
[21]	New Zealand	Social constructivist epistemology	Data quality and reliability; uncertainty and complexity
[12]	Bangladesh	Geographic Information System (GIS) and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).	Prioritization in making decision
[13]	South Africa	Household surveys and participatory visual methods	Evacuation strategies; prioritization of rescue efforts; victim preparedness
[16]	United	Combining Fuzzy Cognitive Mapping (FCM)	Inadequate data security; lack of data
[10]	Kingdom	with a flood resilience measurement	validation; limited data-driven decision-
		framework called Flood Resilience	making culture; insufficient use of
		Measurement for Communities (FRMC).	emerging technologies
[24]	Ethiopia	Systematic literature review	Lack of studies on previous flood rescue
		-,	and recovery cases
[25]	Malaysia	Case study	Lack of training on flood management
[5]	Germany	Household survey (n = 744)	Time sensitivity; limited timeframe, limited
			resources
[26]	Malaysia	Case study	Limited up to date technology
[27]	France	Machine learning adaptation	Conflict with tradition decision making
			method
[28]	Ireland	Triaxial basis that considers expectation,	Information overload; slow information
		probability quantile and expectation-	filter; lack of real-time data; incomplete
		quantile-investment (EQI) evaluation.	information
[29]	Nepal	Case study and focused group discussions,	Lack of manpower, low digital iteration
			among flood rescue agencies
[30]	Australia	Systematic literature review	Lack of training on flood management
[31]	Indonesia	Observation, interviews, focused group	Balancing short-term and long-term needs;
		discussions, and a review of documents	integration of technology

3.2 What are Agile Decision-Making's Factors That Affect Effectiveness Information Management for Flood Rescue and Recovery? (RQ2)

For this finding, the mapping need to analyse based on agile decision making's definition or characteristic that can be related with information management in flood rescue and recovery. This is because there is no specific study of agile decision-making's factors that affect effectiveness information management for flood rescue and recovery which has become the research gap of this study. Agile decision making is a flexible and adaptive approach to making choices and solving problems in a fast-paced and dynamic environment. It is closely associated with the principles of Agile methodology, which originated in software development but has since been applied to various fields, including business, project management, and beyond [32]. For developing the new agile decisionmaking model, the possible factors should be identified through these SLR. Based on the Table 6, altogether, there are 21 factors were identified from a total study related to the agile decisionmaking's factors that affect effectiveness information management for flood rescue and recovery. According to Table 6 which is the list of agile decision-making factors, integrated collaboration is the trait that has been studied the most frequently, accounting for 20 research in total. This is followed by virtual knowledge with 18 variables, data quality and reliability with 17 studies, person abilities with 17 studies, leadership roles with 16 studies, real-time data integration with 15 studies each, community participation with 15 studies, and cost allocation with 15 studies. The rest of this section provides a brief description of these findings. These studies play an important role in the effectiveness information management for flood rescue and recovery.

3.2.1 Collaborative integration

The Collaborative Integration explains the connections between the goals of collaboration, the different partner types, and the levels of integration of various human, organisational, or disciplinary partners into the processes and results of the collaboration [33]. In an integrated collaboration, a virtual team works together and communicates with one another. By utilising their current computers and network infrastructure for group and individual collaboration, these environments enable businesses to realise a number of competitive benefits [34]. These fully integrated settings combine the greatest elements of desktop video conferencing, web-based conferencing and collaboration, and instant messaging into a single user-friendly, intuitive setting [34]. This will facilitate seamless agile decision-making. For instance, in Malaysia, the National Disaster Management Agency (NADMA), the National Security Council Directive, Village Development Committee, the Royal Malaysian Police, non-profit organisations, State legislative assemblies, universities, and others are all involved in flood governance and must be coordinated in order for aid to be delivered to the victims efficiently. Decision-making will improve in agility, flexibility, and transparency by introducing collaborative integration across all agencies [36]. The information can be sent faster and the filtering process of data also will enhance significantly. Diverse parties, including emergency responders, governmental bodies, nonprofit organisations, and affected communities, must exchange information quickly and accurately during flood rescue and recovery activities [12]. By ensuring that pertinent information is easily accessible to all stakeholders, integrated collaboration enables them to quickly make informed decisions [36]. Assessing damage, setting priorities for rescue attempts, assigning resources, and coordinating relief activities are just a few of the many aspects of flood rescue and recovery [38].

3.2.2 Virtual knowledge

Apart from that, regardless of distance or availability, virtual knowledge offers access to a plethora of knowledge. Decision-makers can quickly make educated judgements by retrieving pertinent information from online platforms or databases, including statistics, reports, maps, and other resources. Because of this accessibility, regardless of where they are physically located, decision-makers always have access to the information they need. Situations involving flooding are dynamic, with conditions shifting quickly [39]. Decision-makers can access real-time data, such as weather patterns, water levels, and satellite photos, through virtual knowledge, which helps them keep up with the situation as it changes [40]. Access to the most latest information allows for agile decision-making and the capacity to respond rapidly to changing circumstances and new threats [41]. Even when physically separated, decision-makers can communicate, collaborate, and exchange ideas in real time. By utilising the virtual knowledge and skills of all stakeholders, this collaborative environment fosters synergy and enables agile decision-making. Decision-makers can evaluate numerous scenarios and potential outcomes using simulation and modelling capabilities that are frequently included in virtual knowledge resources [29]. They are able to evaluate the effects of various response tactics and determine the most efficient ones by simulating various flood situations. Decision-makers may anticipate problems, allocate resources more effectively, and make wise choices based on the expected outcomes of their choices thanks to these predictive capabilities.

3.2.3 Data quality & reliability

Accurate and reliable data are necessary for agile decision-making in order to make wise decisions. In the context of flood rescue and recovery, decisions concerning resource allocation, evacuation routes, emergency responses, and infrastructure management strongly rely on the reliability and quality of accessible data [42]. Ineffective response efforts can be hampered by poor data quality or incorrect information. An essential component of flood rescue and recovery efforts is evaluating and reducing hazards [43]. Accurately detecting and analysing risks depends heavily on the quality and dependability of the data. To evaluate the severity of the flood, probable impact zones, infrastructure vulnerability, and safety of impacted communities, decision-makers require reliable data. It is possible to create and put into practice effective risk mitigation plans with the help of high-quality and reliability are essential. The examination of previous flood disasters, postevent appraisals, and lessons gained are all supported by accurate and trustworthy data. High-quality data can be used by decision-makers to spot trends, evaluate the success of prior choices, and apply changes to their methods and procedures.

3.2.4 Leadership role

According to Zegarra [45], leadership is one of the crucial element for cross-functional team to perform effective agile decision making with other three elements were identified as mediator which are communication, knowledge sharing, and collaboration. Agile leadership can emphasise adaptation, flexibility, and continual improvement [48]. Agile leaders excel at managing complexity, embracing change, and empowering their teams to make decisions and take responsibility of their work [58]. Agile decision-making is one of the primary adaptations through agile leadership. Agile leaders are skilled at obtaining information, analysing data, and making rapid choices based on the most up-to-date options available [12,46]. Agile leaders may tap into the collective intelligence of the

organisation and make better-informed decisions that are more aligned with the demands of the flood response and recovery scenario by allowing their teams to make decisions and take ownership of their work [44,47-49].

In order to ensure the smoothness of the aid or support provided to those affected by a disaster, government's leader who are in charge of coordinating disaster relief operations should be sensitive to the needs and difficulties of those who are affected which affect the decision making in rescue operation [27]. In case of flood response and recovery operation in the event of a flood disaster, leaders could employ agile decision-making in order to enhance coordination and synchronization [50]. The leader's concept is significantly different when it comes to agile decision making. According to Butdee *et al.*, [51], some individuals use the terms 'servant leader' or 'authentic leader,' meaning that the leaders are facilitators of decision-making rather than decision-makers themselves. As a result, the outcomes of agile decision making via agile leadership are adaptive, rapid, and successful. It is because choices were made collaboratively with the use of data and technology.

3.2.5 Person (rescuer) ability

Individuals that are flexible and agile in their ideas and behaviours are necessary for agile decision-making. They must be able to quickly analyse shifting circumstances, comprehend the ramifications, and take prompt action [52]. In the dynamic and unpredictably changing environment of flood rescue and recovery, this capacity to adjust to changing circumstances is essential. Strong problem-solving and critical thinking abilities are required of those involved in rapid decision-making for flood rescue and recovery [53-55]. They must examine intricate problems, spot patterns, take into account a number of variables, and provide innovative solutions. These abilities are crucial for risk assessment, weighing different strategies, and reaching well-informed conclusions when dealing with the difficulties presented by flood events [30,53]. High emotional intelligence allows people to control their own emotions and comprehend those of others, which improves communication and decision-making [30,53]. Effective information management during flood rescue and recovery efforts depends on the ability to remain composed, empathise with others, and deal with pressure.

3.2.6 Community engagement

By empowering and including community people in agile decision-making processes, community involvement fosters resilience [56]. They can support response operations by offering their knowledge, resources, and local networks. In the community's rehabilitation process, this collaborative approach promotes a sense of ownership, resilience, and sustainability [57]. Engaging the community improves communication and information sharing [58]. Decision-makers may convey evacuation plans, safety measures, and recovery updates more effectively by incorporating community members. Engaged communities serve as conduits for crucial information to be disseminated to other community members, improving overall information management during flood rescue and recovery [59].

3.2.7 Cost allocation

Cost allocation is an important factor for effective agile decision-making in information management during flood rescue and recovery as it allows decision-makers to efficiently allocate financial resources to address critical needs [1,54,60]. By accurately identifying and allocating costs associated with various response and recovery activities, decision-makers can ensure that funds are

allocated where they are most needed, optimizing the use of limited resources. According to many studies by several authors [55,58,61,62], effective cost allocation enables timely procurement of essential supplies, deployment of rescue teams, infrastructure repair, and support for affected communities, facilitating an agile and coordinated response that maximizes the impact of available resources in the face of flood-related challenges.

3.2.8 Real-time data

Because of its capacity to deliver complete, accurate, and up-to-date information for informed decision-making, real data integration is a vital aspect for successful agile decision-making in information management during flood rescue and recovery [63]. Real data integration is critical in optimising response efforts and minimising the impact on impacted populations during flood disasters, where time is of the importance and choices can have life-saving consequences [64]. Real-time data integration entails gathering, integrating, and analysing data from a variety of sources, such as sensors, satellite imaging, weather predictions, social media, and field reports. This integration provides decision-makers with a comprehensive perspective of the situation, allowing them to make timely and well-informed judgements [62]. Timely and reliable information is required for agile decision-making. Real data integration guarantees that decision-makers have access to the most up-to-date and relevant information, allowing them to make quick choices [60]. This involves deciding on evacuation plans, allocating resources, developing emergency response methods, and prioritising important activities [65]. The ability to incorporate real-time data accelerates decision-making, allowing for quick reactions to changing flood circumstances [66-68].

Table 6

Agile Decision-Making's factors that affect effectiveness information management for flood rescue and recovery

	Factors of Agile Decision-Making																				
Authors	Effective planning	IT literation	Rapid info response	Virtual knowledge	Ethical Considerations	Agiler mindset	Predictability	Collaboration	Technology	Integrated collaboration	Coordination	Community engagement	Communication	Cost resources allocation	Training Preparedness	Data quality & reliability	Risk management	Real-time Data	Person(rescuer) Abilities	Iterative feedback	Leadership role
[1]		Х		Х			Х								Х	Х		Х			Х
[57]		Х	Х			Х			Х	Х						Х	Х		Х		Х
[52]	Х		Х	Х						Х				Х	Х	Х		Х		Х	
[54]		Х					Х		Х	Х						Х		Х	Х		
[69]			Х	Х					Х			Х			Х		Х			Х	
[70]		Х		Х			Х			Х			Х	Х		Х		Х		_	
[30]			Х		_	Х				Х			Х			Х		Х	Х		Х
[48]				Х					Х		Х	Х			Х			Х			Х
[54]			Х			Х	Х			Х			Х				Х		Х		Х
[28]			Х		_					Х	Х			Х	Х			Х			
[71]		_		Х			Х			Х		Х	Х				Х		Х		
[7]	Х		Х			Х				Х		Х			Х			Х	Х		X
[72]				Х		Х	Х		Х		Х			Х			Х				
[61]				Х						Х	Х		Х			Х			Х		

Factors of Agile Decision-N

[60]	Х		Х				Х			Х		Х			Х	Х		Х			Х
[55]				Х			Х				Х		Х	Х		Х			Х		
[73]			Х			Х				Х		Х					Х	Х	Х		X
[59]				Х		Х	Х				Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		
[61]				Х		Х				Х			Х	Х		Х		Х		Х	
[56]	Х		Х		Х		Х			Х	Х	Х			Х	Х			Х		
[53]			Х	Х		Х			Х	Х			Х			Х		Х			
[74]	Х		Х		Х		Х			Х	Х			Х			Х		Х		X
[75]				Х				Х			Х	Х			Х	Х		Х	Х		
[66]				Х			Х		Х	Х			Х	Х		Х		Х			
[63]	Х		Х		Х					Х		Х			Х		Х		Х		X
[77]			Х	Х				Х		Х	Х			Х				Х		Х	
[64]				Х				Х			Х	Х			Х		Х				Х
[78]							Х		Х	Х			Х		Х	Х			Х		
[62]					Х					Х			Х			Х					
[58]				Х				Х				Х				Х			Х	Х	
Tot al	6	4	13	18	4	9	12	4	8	20	11	15	12	15	13	17	10	15	16	5	16

4. Conclusions

Finally, this research has thrown light on the complex decision-making challenges that information managers face in the context of flood rescue and recovery. Many problems, including as uncertainty, resource allocation, and community engagement, frequently result in sluggish response operations and increased vulnerability. Recognizing the importance of agile decision-making, the researcher conducted a systematic assessment of 79 relevant articles published between 2014 and 2023. The analysis identified eight important agile decision-making characteristics that have the potential to improve the effectiveness of information management in flood rescue and recovery. These factors include integrated collaboration, virtual knowledge, data quality, leadership roles, individual abilities, cost allocation, community engagement, and real-time data. Importantly, these results indicate that agile decision-making is a promising option for minimizing the difficulties of decision-making in this crucial sector. By embracing agile concept, decision-makers can quickly adjust to changing conditions and make educated, timely decisions, thereby improving the efficiency and efficacy of flood rescue and recovery operations. These findings constitute the foundation for the creation of an effective agile decision-making paradigm, with the potential to alter information management in flood rescue and recovery, minimize risks, and improve community resilience in the face of flood disasters.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by a grant from Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia (FRGS/1/2022/ICT03/UTEM/01/1).

References

- [1] Vafadarnikjoo, Amin, Konstantinos Chalvatzis, Tiago Botelho, and David Bamford. "A stratified decision-making model for long-term planning: Application in flood risk management in Scotland." Omega 116 (2023): 102803. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2022.102803</u>
- [2] Diaz, Anna, Josef-Peter Schöggl, Tatiana Reyes, and Rupert J. Baumgartner. "Sustainable product development in a circular economy: Implications for products, actors, decision-making support and lifecycle information management." Sustainable Production and Consumption 26 (2021): 1031-1045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.12.044

- [3] Slot, Maaike, and Eric Lutters. "Digital twinning for purpose-driven information management in production." *Procedia CIRP* 100 (2021): 666-671. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2021.05.141</u>
- [4] Melzer, Marvin, Sonoko Bellingrath-Kimura, and Markus Gandorfer. "Commercial farm management information systems-A demand-oriented analysis of functions in practical use." *Smart Agricultural Technology* 4 (2023): 100203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atech.2023.100203
- [5] Wagner, Simon, Sophie Thiam, Nadège IP Dossoumou, Michael Hagenlocher, Maxime Souvignet, and Jakob Rhyner. "Recovering from Financial Implications of Flood Impacts—The Role of Risk Transfer in the West African Context." Sustainability 14, no. 14 (2022): 8433. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148433</u>
- [6] Dewa, Ozius, Donald Makoka, and Olalekan A. Ayo-Yusuf. "Measuring community flood resilience and associated factors in rural Malawi." Journal of flood risk management 16, no. 1 (2023): e12874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12874</u>
- [7] Yusoff, Izham Mohamad, Aznarahayu Ramli, Nurul Azni Mhd Alkasirah, and Norashila Mohd Nasir. "Exploring the managing of flood disaster: A Malaysian perspective." *Geografia* 14, no. 3 (2018). <u>https://doi.org/10.17576/geo-2018-1403-03</u>
- [8] Zhu, Shiyao, Dezhi Li, Haibo Feng, and Na Zhang. "The influencing factors and mechanisms for urban flood resilience in China: From the perspective of social-economic-natural complex ecosystem." *Ecological Indicators* 147 (2023): 109959. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.109959</u>
- [9] Sakurai, Mihoko, and Yuko Murayama. "Information technologies and disaster management–Benefits and issues." *Progress in Disaster Science* 2 (2019): 100012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2019.100012</u>
- [10] Clar, Christoph, Lena Junger, Ralf Nordbeck, and Thomas Thaler. "The impact of demographic developments on flood risk management systems in rural regions in the Alpine Arc." *International journal of disaster risk reduction* 90 (2023): 103648. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103648</u>
- [11] Bukvic, A., A. Mitchell, Y. Shao, and J. L. Irish. "Spatiotemporal implications of flooding on relocation risk in rural and urban coastal municipalities." *Land Use Policy* 132 (2023): 106754. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2023.106754</u>
- [12] Nahin, Khadiza Tul Kobra, Sumaiya Binte Islam, Safat Mahmud, and Irfan Hossain. "Flood vulnerability assessment in the Jamuna river floodplain using multi-criteria decision analysis: A case study in Jamalpur district, Bangladesh." *Heliyon* 9, no. 3 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14520</u>
- [13] Mpofu-Mketwa, Tsitsi Jane, Amber Abrams, and Gillian Frances Black. "Reflections on measuring the soundness of the digital storytelling method applied to three Cape Flats vulnerable communities affected by drought, fire and flooding in Cape Town." Social Sciences & Humanities Open 7, no. 1 (2023): 100407. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100407</u>
- [14] Eakin, Hallie, Jagadish Parajuli, Yamini Yogya, Bertha Hernández, and Marisa Manheim. "Entry points for addressing justice and politics in urban flood adaptation decision making." *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 51 (2021): 1-6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2021.01.001</u>
- [15] Babanawo, Daystar, Precious Agbeko D. Mattah, Samuel KM Agblorti, and Denis Worlanyo Aheto. "Perspectives on factors that influence local communities' vulnerability to coastal floods in Ketu South Municipality of Ghana." International journal of disaster risk reduction 90 (2023): 103646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103646
- [16] Mehryar, Sara, and Swenja Surminski. "Investigating flood resilience perceptions and supporting collective decision-making through fuzzy cognitive mapping." *Science of the Total Environment* 837 (2022): 155854. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155854</u>
- [17] Esteban, Theresa Audrey O., and Jurian Edelenbos. "The politics of urban flood resilience: The case of Malabon city." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 88 (2023): 103604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103604
- [18] Fekete, Alexander, and Samuel Rufat. "Should everyone in need be treated equally? A European survey of expert judgment on social vulnerability to floods and pandemics to validate multi-hazard vulnerability factors." *International journal of disaster risk reduction* 85 (2023): 103527. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103527</u>
- [19] Islam, Md Azharul, Shawkh Ibne Rashid, Niamat Ullah Ibne Hossain, Robert Fleming, and Alexandr Sokolov. "An integrated convolutional neural network and sorting algorithm for image classification for efficient flood disaster management." *Decision Analytics Journal* 7 (2023): 100225. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2023.100225</u>
- [20] Nakhaei, Mahdi, Pouria Nakhaei, Mohammad Gheibi, Benyamin Chahkandi, Stanisław Wacławek, Kourosh Behzadian, Albert S. Chen, and Luiza C. Campos. "Enhancing community resilience in arid regions: A smart framework for flash flood risk assessment." *Ecological Indicators* 153 (2023): 110457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110457

- [21] Mutch, Carol. "How schools build community resilience capacity and social capital in disaster preparedness, response and recovery." *International journal of disaster risk reduction* 92 (2023): 103735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103735
- [22] Robertson, Brett W., Matthew Johnson, Dhiraj Murthy, William Roth Smith, and Keri K. Stephens. "Using a combination of human insights and 'deep learning'for real-time disaster communication." *Progress in Disaster Science* 2 (2019): 100030. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2019.100030</u>
- [23] Osti, Rabindra. "Institutional and Governance Dimensions of Flood Risk Management: A Flood Footprint and Accountability Mechanism." (2019).
- [24] Edamo, Muluneh Legesse, Tigistu Yisihak Ukumo, Tarun Kumar Lohani, Melkamu Teshome Ayana, Mesfin Amaru Ayele, Zerihun Makayno Mada, and Dawit Midagsa Abdi. "A comparative assessment of multi-criteria decisionmaking analysis and machine learning methods for flood susceptibility mapping and socio-economic impacts on flood risk in Abela-Abaya floodplain of Ethiopia." *Environmental Challenges* 9 (2022): 100629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100629</u>
- [25] Sufian, Atirah, Chai Jia Chi, Hartini Azman, Nor Azah Abdul Aziz, Fam Soo Fen, and Ammar Afif Mohd Zamri. "Assessing Residents' Flood Preparedness through Adaption of Protective Behaviour in Melaka, Malaysia." *Environment and Ecology Research* 10, no. 3 (2022): 334-335. <u>https://doi.org/10.13189/eer.2022.100302</u>
- [26] Fatmah, Fatmah. "Effect of disaster training on knowledge regarding flood risk management amongst families with older people." Jàmbá-Journal of Disaster Risk Studies 14, no. 1 (2022): 1262. <u>https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v14i1.1262</u>
- [27] Mitchell, Chrissy. "Flood resilience—A time for cathedral-based thinking and action!." *Journal of Flood Risk Management* 15, no. 1 (2022): e12780. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12780</u>
- [28] Teixeira, Rui, Beatriz Martinez-Pastor, Luka Vucinic, and Alan O'Connor. "Flood adaptation decision-making for vulnerable locations using expectation-quantile-investment analysis." *Journal of Flood Risk Management* 16, no. 1 (2023): e12875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12875</u>
- [29] Magableh, Ghazi M., and Mahmoud Z. Mistarihi. "Applications of MCDM approach (ANP-TOPSIS) to evaluate supply chain solutions in the context of COVID-19." *Heliyon* 8, no. 3 (2022). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09062</u>
- [30] Bosmans, Mark WG, Christos Baliatsas, C. J. Yzermans, and Michel LA Dückers. "A systematic review of rapid needs assessments and their usefulness for disaster decision making: methods, strengths and weaknesses and value for disaster relief policy." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 71 (2022): 102807. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2022.102807</u>
- [31] Rustinsyah, Rustinsyah, Ratna Azis Prasetyo, and Muhammad Adib. "Social capital for flood disaster management: Case study of flooding in a village of Bengawan Solo Riverbank, Tuban, East Java Province." International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction 52 (2021): 101963. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101963</u>
- [32] Vaia, Giovanni, Daria Arkhipova, and William DeLone. "Digital governance mechanisms and principles that enable agile responses in dynamic competitive environments." *European Journal of Information Systems* 31, no. 6 (2022): 662-680. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2022.2078743</u>
- [33] Abusaeed, Syed, Saif Ur Rehman Khan, and Atif Mashkoor. "A Fuzzy AHP-based approach for prioritization of cost overhead factors in agile software development." *Applied Soft Computing* 133 (2023): 109977. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2022.109977</u>
- [34] Aljaghoub, Haya, Shamma Alasad, Adnan Alashkar, Maryam AlMallahi, Ranem Hasan, Khaled Obaideen, and Abdul Hai Alami. "Comparative analysis of various oxygen production techniques using multi-criteria decision-making methods." *International Journal of Thermofluids* 17 (2023): 100261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2022.100261</u>
- [35] Krisnawijaya, Ngakan Nyoman Kutha, Bedir Tekinerdogan, Cagatay Catal, and Rik van der Tol. "Multi-Criteria decision analysis approach for selecting feasible data analytics platforms for precision farming." *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 209 (2023): 107869. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2023.107869</u>
- [36] Broekharst, Damien SE, Rogier van de Wetering, Ward Ooms, Remko W. Helms, and Nadine Roijakkers. "Deploying predictive analytics to enhance patient agility and patient value in hospitals: A position paper and research proposal." *Healthcare Analytics* 3 (2023): 100141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.health.2023.100141</u>
- [37] Kaur, Jasmine, Ompal Singh, Adarsh Anand, and Mohini Agarwal. "A goal programming approach for agile-based software development resource allocation." *Decision Analytics Journal* 6 (2023): 100146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2022.100146
- [38] Guo, Yufeng, and Takuma Matsuda. "Study on the multi-criteria location decision of wide-area distribution centers in pre-disaster: Case of an earthquake in the Kanto district of Japan." Asian Transport Studies 9 (2023): 100107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eastsj.2023.100107</u>
- [39] Patidar, Akshay, Monica Sharma, Rajeev Agrawal, and Kuldip Singh Sangwan. "Antecedents of a resilient sustainable supply chain." *Procedia CIRP* 116 (2023): 558-563. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2023.02.094</u>

- [40] Salas, Werner H. "Model to improve an ERP implementation based on agile best practice: A Delphi study." Procedia Computer Science 219 (2023): 1785-1792. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.474</u>
- [41] Ourya, Ilham, and Souad Abderafi. "Clean technology selection of hydrogen production on an industrial scale in Morocco." *Results in Engineering* 17 (2023): 100815. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2022.100815</u>
- [42] Castelló-Sirvent, Fernando, and Carlos Meneses-Eraso. "Research Agenda on Multiple-Criteria Decision-Making: New Academic Debates in Business and Management." Axioms 11, no. 10 (2022): 515. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11100515
- [43] Shadmaan, Md Saalim, and Samsunnahar Popy. "An assessment of earthquake vulnerability by multi-criteria decision-making method." *Geohazard Mechanics* 1, no. 1 (2023): 94-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ghm.2022.11.002
- [44] Uwadi, Maduka, Peggy Gregory, Ian Allison, and Helen Sharp. "Roles of middle managers in agile project governance." In International Conference on Agile Software Development, pp. 65-81. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08169-9_5</u>
- [45] Ames Zegarra, Carolina, and Saban Sabanovic. "Cross-functional team in a project with an agile management: Effective strategies and common challenges in a cross-functional team while using agile project management." (2022).
- [46] Foschiani F, Röber B, and Oesterle M, "(Fr)Agile, Handle with Care! A Systematic Literature Review of the Nature and Limits of Agile Methods," Int. J. Manag. Stud. Res., vol. 9, no. 8 (2021): 27–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-0349.0908005</u>
- [47] CQNet, "Agile for all : The principles of agility and agile leadership." (2021).
- [48] Wittrich, Agnes. "Ethical decision-making in the work of project leaders-why ethics gains in importance in future project management." In Business and Management'2022, The 12th International Scientific Conference" Business and Management", 12–13 May 2022 Vilnius, Lithuania, pp. 1-10. Vilnius: Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.3846/bm.2022.820</u>
- [49] Varga-Adams, T., S. Bennet, R. Sharpe, Shirley Alexander, and Allison Littlejohn. "The choices that connect uncertainty and sustainability: student-centred agile decision-making approaches used by Universities in Australia and the Uk during the Covid-19 pandemic." *Journal of Interactive Media in Education* 1, no. 16 (2021): 1-16. <u>https://doi.org/10.5334/jime.649</u>
- [50] Abdullah, Ahmad, Shantanu Saraswat, and Faisal Talib. "Barriers and strategies for sustainable manufacturing implementation in SMEs: A hybrid fuzzy AHP-TOPSIS framework." Sustainable Manufacturing and Service Economics (2023): 100012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smse.2023.100012</u>
- [51] Butdee, Suthep, and Puntiva Phuangsalee. "Uncertain risk assessment modelling for bus body manufacturing supply chain using AHP and fuzzy AHP." *Procedia Manufacturing* 30 (2019): 663-670. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2019.02.094</u>
- [52] Chen, Sheng-Qun, and Jie Bai. "Data-driven decision-making model for determining the number of volunteers required in typhoon disasters." *Journal of Safety Science and Resilience* 4, no. 3 (2023): 229-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnlssr.2023.03.001
- [53] Yamashita, Ryohei. "Relationship between citizens' risk perceptions formed by disaster information and migration decision-making: Evidence from Japan." *Progress in Disaster Science* 5 (2020): 100056. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2019.100056</u>
- [54] Muzamil, Syed Ahmad Hakim Bin Syed, Noor Yasmin Zainun, Nadiatul Nazleen Ajman, Noralfishah Sulaiman, Shabir Hussain Khahro, Munzilah Md Rohani, Saifullizan Mohd Bukari Mohd, and Hilton Ahmad. "Proposed framework for the flood disaster management cycle in Malaysia." *Sustainability* 14, no. 7 (2022): 4088. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14074088
- [55] Mat Saad, Mohamad Firdaus, Aliza Abdul Latif, and Marini Othman. "Agile information-based framework for flood management." In *Materials Science and Engineering Conference Series*, vol. 1088, no. 1, p. 012048. 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1088/1/012048</u>
- [56] McDonald, Fiona, Claire J. Horwell, Richard Wecker, Lena Dominelli, Miranda Loh, Robie Kamanyire, and Ciro Ugarte. "Facemask use for community protection from air pollution disasters: An ethical overview and framework to guide agency decision making." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 43 (2020): 101376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101376</u>
- [57] Laurila-Pant, Mirka, Mia Pihlajamäki, Antti Lanki, and Annukka Lehikoinen. "A protocol for analysing the role of shared situational awareness and decision-making in cooperative disaster simulations." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 86 (2023): 103544. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103544</u>
- [58] Yap, K., M. Nazri, A. Bakar, A. Zaki, M. Kamalrudin, and S. Sidek. "A review on agile decision making in crisis management." *A Review on Agile Decision Making in Crisis Management* 26, no. 4 (2014): 1535-1541.

- [59] Jung, Daekyo, Vu Tran Tuan, Dai Quoc Tran, Minsoo Park, and Seunghee Park. "Conceptual framework of an intelligent decision support system for smart city disaster management." *Applied Sciences* 10, no. 2 (2020): 666. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/app10020666</u>
- [60] Firdaus bin Mat Saad, Mohamad. "Evaluating Agile Information-Based Framework for Flood Management Utilizing Metadata Concept to Support Flood Operation Activities." *International journal of electrical and computer engineering systems* Special Issue (2021): 71-78. <u>https://doi.org/10.32985/ijeces.12.si.8</u>
- [61] Jayawardene, Vimukthi, Thomas J. Huggins, Raj Prasanna, and Bapon Fakhruddin. "The role of data and information quality during disaster response decision-making." *Progress in disaster science* 12 (2021): 100202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2021.100202
- [62] Roslan, Nur Nadrah. "Flood Emergency Response System Using Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis." IRC, 2015.
- [63] Papathoma-Köhle, Maria, Giula Cristofari, Martin Wenk, and Sven Fuchs. "The importance of indicator weights for vulnerability indices and implications for decision making in disaster management." *International journal of disaster risk reduction* 36 (2019): 101103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101103</u>
- [64] Anuar Samsudin. "Agile Decision Making Approach To Enhance Information Management For Flood Impact." (2017).
- [65] Weretecki, Patrick, Goetz Greve, Kenneth Bates, and Jörg Henseler. "Information management can't be all fun and games, can it? How gamified experiences foster information exchange in multi-actor service ecosystems." *International journal of information management* 61 (2021): 102391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102391
- [66] Ropaka, Marilia. "Defining the workflows for information management for the enhancement of digitization across the whole lifecycle: The case of an airport project." (2022).
- [67] Chatterjee, Pritha, Aashish Gupta, and S. V. Subramanian. "Can administrative health data be used to estimate population level birth and child mortality estimates? A comparison of India's Health Information Management System data with nationally representative survey data." SSM-Population Health 19 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101148
- [68] Sun, Dingzhong, Linhuan Wu, and Guomei Fan. "Laboratory information management system for biosafety laboratory: Safety and efficiency." *Journal of Biosafety and Biosecurity* 3, no. 1 (2021): 28-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobb.2021.03.001
- [69] Mehryar, Sara, and Swenja Surminski. "Investigating flood resilience perceptions and supporting collective decision-making through fuzzy cognitive mapping." *Science of the Total Environment* 837 (2022): 155854. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155854</u>
- [70] Favereau, Marcel, Luis F. Robledo, Diego Villalobos, and Pierre-Yves Descote. "On disasters evacuation modeling: From disruptive to slow-response decisions." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 67 (2022): 102678. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102678</u>
- [71] Munawar, Hafiz Suliman, Mohammad Mojtahedi, Ahmed WA Hammad, Michael J. Ostwald, and S. Travis Waller. "An ai/ml-based strategy for disaster response and evacuation of victims in aged care facilities in the Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley: A perspective." *Buildings* 12, no. 1 (2022): 80. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12010080</u>
- [72] Pezzica, Camilla, Valerio Cutini, and Clarice Bleil de Souza. "Mind the gap: State of the art on decision-making related to post-disaster housing assistance." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 53 (2021): 101975. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101975</u>
- [73] Towe, Ross, Graham Dean, Liz Edwards, Vatsala Nundloll, Gordon Blair, Rob Lamb, Barry Hankin, and Susan Manson. "Rethinking data-driven decision support in flood risk management for a big data age." *Journal of Flood Risk Management* 13, no. 4 (2020): e12652. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12652</u>
- [74] Wang, Ruotong, F. Maxwell Harper, and Haiyi Zhu. "Factors influencing perceived fairness in algorithmic decisionmaking: Algorithm outcomes, development procedures, and individual differences." In Proceedings of the 2020 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems, pp. 1-14. 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376813</u>
- [75] Gao, Xin, Juqin Shen, Weijun He, Fuhua Sun, Zhaofang Zhang, Xin Zhang, Liang Yuan, and Min An. "Multilevel governments' decision-making process and its influencing factors in watershed ecological compensation." Sustainability 11, no. 7 (2019): 1990. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su11071990</u>
- [76] Ghozali, Reginald Putra, Herry Saputra, M. Apriadin Nuriawan, Ditdit Nugeraha Utama, and Ariadi Nugroho. "Systematic literature review on decision-making of requirement engineering from agile software development." *Procedia Computer Science* 157 (2019): 274-281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.08.167</u>
- [77] Zakaria, Nor Hidayati, Mohammad Nazir Ahmad, Mohd Saiful Anuar Mohd Noor, and Mazida Ahmad. "Knowledge integration among flood disaster management team: Lessons from the Kemaman district." *Journal of Information and Communication Technology* 17, no. 3 (2018): 393-408. <u>https://doi.org/10.32890/jict2018.17.3.1</u>
- [78] da Cunha, José Adson OG, Fabio QB da Silva, Hermano P. de Moura, and Francisco JS Vasconcellos. "Decisionmaking in software project management: A qualitative case study of a private organization." In *Proceedings of the*

9th International Workshop on Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering, pp. 26-32. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1145/2897586.2897598

- [79] Salleh, Nursyaira Mohd, Mohamad Shukri Zakaria, and Mohd Juzaila Abd Latif. "Reducing of Thrombosis in Mechanical Heart Valve through the Computational Method: A Review." *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 65, no. 2 (2020): 178-200.
- [80] Payus, Carolyn, Lim Ann Huey, Farrah Adnan, Andi Besse Rimba, Geetha Mohan, Saroj Kumar Chapagain, Giulia Roder, Alexandros Gasparatos, and Kensuke Fukushi. "Impact of extreme drought climate on water security in North Borneo: Case study of Sabah." Water 12, no. 4 (2020): 1135. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w12041135</u>