Penerbit Akademia Baru

Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences

Journal homepage: www.akademiabaru.com/arfmts.html
ISSN: 2289-7879



Energy Security in ASEAN Region: A Case Study of Malaysia Energy Security Performance with Renewable Energy Implementation

Open Access

Endang Jati Mat Sahid[1,2,*], Tan Ching Sin[1,2]

[1] College of Engineering, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia
[2] Institute of Energy Policy and Research (IEPRe), Universiti Tenaga Nasional, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 15 May 2019
Received in revised form 13 August 2019
Accepted 1 September 2019
Available online 26 September 2019

Keywords:
ASEAN; Energy Policy; Energy Security; Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The robust economic growth experienced by the ASEAN region in the past decades were mainly due to the progress of industrialization and motorization. Nevertheless, the economy is expected to expand further for the next few decades for the same cause. The primary energy supply is expected to increase at least two-fold in the next two decades from 2015. Hence, an increase of energy requirement in the region will necessitate some of the energy demand to be met through imported energy sources from neighbouring country. The dependency of energy import resources will give a signal to policy makers to address the burgeoning concerns of the energy issues in the region for the few decades to come. Therefore, revising existing and formulating new policies are crucial to improve the energy supply security of the region. In this paper, the analysis indicates that the share of fossil fuels in IEEJ's projection for ASEAN's primary energy supply is projected to be maintained around 80% between 2015 and 2050. Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand will experience increase of net oil import by at least two-fold. This paper looks into the challenges of the energy security in Southeast Asia by identifying the energy gaps challenges on the availability of energy resources and the energy supply and demand projection up to 2050. This paper discusses on the issues on energy governance in the region for the purpose of enhancing its energy security level. Finally, a Malaysia case study is presented in the paper.

1. Introduction

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) consist of 10 countries namely Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam, Brunei Darussalam, Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines, and Singapore. The level of economic development in each country is very different from each other and most of the countries have a vastly different pattern of energy supply and demand. This ASEAN region as a whole is relatively well endowed with various energy resources however the energy resources are not evenly distributed. As the population and energy demand continues to increase

* *Corresponding author.*
E-mail address: endang@uniten.edu.my (Endang Jati Mat Sahid)

and existing fuel supplies begin to run out, most ASEAN countries will have to find ways to tackle their country energy security.

Studies by the researchers have indicated that energy and economic development are closely linked to each other and energy has been identified as one of the important factors for economic activities [1-3]. Study by Tan *et al.,* [4] indicates that the main drivers that cause increase in energy demand are economy (i.e., GDP) and population. The study also shows that there is a high correlation ship between energy demand and economy as well as population. Several studies have highlighted their concerns on high dependency of fossil fuels consumption [5, 6]. Furthermore, Fairuz *et al.,* [7] has suggested that an optimum fuel mix with the inclusion of nuclear, hydro and renewable energy can help to reduce over dependency on fossil fuels. Some studies emphasized that energy security is imperative to ensure continuous economic development in any country [3,8,9]. The high dependency on fossil-fuels coupled with the dwindling domestic fossil-fuel reserves will definitely compel the countries to import more fossil-fuels at a high market price where the fuel price is volatile if thorough balanced fuel mix diversification is not carefully considered [10].

In the context of energy security of a country, it is generally means the ability of the energy system to react promptly to sudden changes in the supply-demand balance while ensuring timely investments to the supply energy in line with economic developments and environmental needs. Energy security is defined as "the ability of an economy to guarantee the availability of energy resource supply in a sustainable and timely manner with the energy price being at a level that will not adversely affect the economic performance of an economy" [11]. It is divided into four main elements namely availability, accessibility, affordability and acceptability [12]. Availability element relates to geological existence of energy resources, accessibility elements relates to geopolitical factors, affordability elements relates to the economical aspects of the energy resources, while acceptability elements relates to the environmental impacts of energy resources life cycle [13].

The objectives of this study are to identify the energy gaps challenges on the availability of energy resources and the energy supply and demand projection up to 2050, and then provide suggestions to improve energy security level in the ASEAN region. This paper will also discuss on the energy governance issue in the region for the purpose of enhancing its energy security level. Finally, Malaysia case study on the energy security is analyzed and presented.

2. Energy Supply and Demand and Economic Indicators

In the past decades, ASEAN region has experienced a buoyant economic growth, and it is expected that the economy will expand further in the next two decades from 2015. The GDP is expected to quadrupled from 2015 to reach USD 10,390 billion at 2010 price in 2050, at 4.17% average annual growth rate. The population growth in the region is statistically estimated to growth at 0.64% annually from 2015 to 2050 as shown in Table 1. The primary energy consumption refers to the direct use at the source, or supply to users without transformation, of crude energy, that is, energy that has not been subjected to any conversion or transformation process. In this paper, primary energy consumption or primary energy supply referring to the same definition. The environmental indicator for CO_2 emissions per GDP shows a reduction of 1.14% average annual growth rate from 2015 to 2050. This reduction is due to the projection of high GDP in the region in the next three decades. However, the CO_2 per primary energy supply shows marginally increase of 0.34% average annual growth rate from 2015 to 2050. This is contributed by the high fossil fuels consumption which is maintained around 80% between 2015 and 2050.

Table 1
Energy and economic indicators

Energy and Economic Indicators	Year							AAGR (%)
	1980	1990	2000	2015	2030	2040	2050	2015-2050
GDP ($2010 billion)	440	741	1180	2490	4955	7383	10390	4.17
Population (million)	347	430	505	608	696	736	761	0.64
Primary energy Supply (Mtoe)[*1]	142	233	379	621	982	1259	1544	2.64
CO2 emissions (Mt)	205	362	711	1288	2111	2812	3602	2.98
GDP per capita ($2010 thousand)	1.27	1.72	2.34	4.10	7.12	10.03	13.65	3.50
Primary energy Supply per capita (toe)	0.41	0.54	0.75	1.02	1.41	1.71	2.03	1.98
Primary energy Supply per GDP[a]	322.73	314.44	321.19	249.40	198.18	170.53	148.60	-1.47
CO2 emissions per GDP[b]	466.91	488.53	602.54	517.27	426.03	380.87	346.68	-1.14
CO2 per primary energy consumption[c]	1.44	1.55	1.88	2.07	2.15	2.23	2.33	0.34

[a] toe/$2010 million.[b] t/$2010 million.[c] t/toe, [*1]Trade of electricity, heat and hydrogen are not shown. *Source:* IEEJ (2017) [14]

The total primary energy supply tabulated in Table 2 is projected to increase from 621 Mtoe in 2015 to 1,544 Mtoe in 2050, growing at an average rate of 2.6% annually and this indicates that ASEAN remain heavily dependence on fossil fuel in the years to come. Fossil fuel sources are expected to contribute at around 80% of the total primary energy supply in 2050. Due to rapid industrialization and motorization in the region, oil is still expected to remains as the main primary energy supply albeit at a decreasing share from 38% in 2015 to 32% in 2050 at the rate of 2.5% per year from 2015 to 2050.

Table 2
Primary Energy Supply in ASEAN countries

Primary Energy Supply	Mtoe							Shares (%)			AAGR (%)
	1980	1990	2000	2015	2030	2040	2050	1990	2015	2050	2015-2050
Total	142	233	379	621	982	1259	1544	100	100	100	2.6
Coal	3.6	13	32	114	217	300	398	5.4	18	26	3.6
Oil	58	89	153	210	305	394	493	38	34	32	2.5
Natural Gas	8.6	30	74	140	216	280	344	13	23	22	2.6
Nuclear	-	-	-	-	2.2	14	23	-	-	1.5	n.a.
Hydro	0.8	2.3	4.1	9.2	18	20	22	1.0	1.5	1.4	2.6
Geothermal	1.8	6.6	18	27	79	99	109	2.8	4.3	7.0	4.1
Solar, wind, etc	-	-	-	0.3	2.3	4.9	9.4	-	0.1	0.6	9.9
Biomass and waste	70	93	98	119	141	145	143	40	19	9.2	0.5

Source: IEEJ (2017)) [14]

Due to rapid industrialization and motorization in the region, oil is still expected to remains as the main primary energy supply albeit at a decreasing share from 38% in 2015 to 32% in 2050 at the rate of 2.5% per year from 2015 to 2050. Meanwhile it is also projected that the share of natural gas will increase from 13% in 2015 to 22% in 2050 growing at rate of 2.6% per year from 2015 to 2050. Among the fossil fuel sources, coal is expected to grow at a higher rate of 3.6% per year from 2015 to 2050 due to its high demand of coal consumption in power sector. Encouragingly, renewable energy is expected to grow at the fastest rate in the same period reflecting the active deployment of renewable energy sources in the region. Despite renewable energy shows rapid and active development in the

region, however, it is worthwhile to note that the share of renewable energy shows a decreasing trend and the share of fossil fuels is projected to maintain around 80% as indicated in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Share of Primary Energy Supply in ASEAN countries

Meanwhile, Table 3 shows that the total final energy demand by 2050 is projected to increase from 436 Mtoe in 2015 to 1,006 Mtoe in 2050, growing at an average rate of 2.4% annually. The final energy demand by sector shows that the industry and buildings sectors contribute the highest energy demand at the share of 32% each respectively, in the region by 2050 (i.e., rapid industrialization) follows by transport sectors at 27%. By fuel type the oil is projected to be the major contributor of final energy demand with 47% of the total share by 2050; mostly used in transport sector followed by electricity at 25%, renewable energy at 11%, natural gas and coal at 10% and 7% respectively.

Table 3
Final Energy Demand in ASEAN countries

Final Energy Demand	Mtoe							Shares (%)			AAGR (%)
	1980	1990	2000	2015	2020	2030	2050	1990	2015	2050	2015-2050
Total	112	173	270	436	645	817	1006	100	100	100	2.4
By sector											
Industry	22	43	75	125	198	257	318	25	29	32	2.7
Transport	17	32	61	117	170	215	270	19	27	27	2.4
Buildings, etc.	71	87	113	147	211	262	317	50	34	32	2.2
Non-Energy Use	2.4	11	21	47	66	83	101	6.3	11	10	2.2
By energy											
Coal	2.1	6.0	13	34	50	61	70	3.5	7.8	7.0	2.1
Oil	41	67	123	193	285	370	468	38	44	47	2.6
Natural Gas	2.5	7.5	17	37	62	83	104	4.4	8.6	10	3.0
Electricity	4.7	11	28	68	129	183	249	6.4	16	25	3.8
Renewables	61	82	89	104	118	119	115	47	24	11	0.3

Source: IEEJ (2017) [14]

3. Energy Security in Southeast Asia

3.1 Energy Reserves by Country

The ASEAN region is relatively well endowed with conventional energy resources, namely oil, gas and coal; however, the resources are unevenly distributed among the countries and sometimes located far away from the demand centres. In 2015, ASEAN region produced a total of 2.4 million barrels of oil per day and the oil production is expected to decrease at a rate of 1.4% per year from 2015 to 2040, which results in 1.6 million barrels of oil production per day by 2040 [15]. This decreasing trend is mainly due to the mature oil fields that were in their decline phase. As for natural gas production, ASEAN region has produced a total of 205 billion cubic meter of natural gas in 2015 and that volume is expected to decrease at a rate of 0.6% per year reaching at 177 billion cubic meter by 2040. It is worth to take note that the trends of natural gas production varies among the member countries, for example annual natural gas production in Brunei Darusssalam is expected to stable while the production in Indonesia is expected to face a slightly decreasing trend and natural gas production is Viet Nam is expected to increase. Finally, the coal production in ASEAN region is expected to increase in 2040, at 487 million tonnes from 434 million tonnes of coal in 2015. Majority coal production are from Indonesia.

Apart from the abundant of conventional fossil-fuel, the region is also relatively well endowed with renewable energy sources particularly in hydro and solar as well other type of renewable energy, albeit the level and type of renewable may differ from one country to the others. This region has set out a target to contribute 23% of its primary energy supply from renewables by 2025 [16]. Therefore, it is challenging to see how and what member countries can contribute in meeting the target set.

3.2 ASEAN Energy Demand and Supply Through To 2050

Table 4 shows the total final energy demand, total primary energy supply and total electricity production by the ASEAN member countries between 2015 and 2050.

Table 4
Final Energy Demand, Primary Energy Supply and Electricity Production

	Total final energy demand (Mtoe)				Total primary energy Supply (Mtoe)				Total Electricity production (TWh)			
	2015	2030	2040	2050	2015	2030	2040	2050	2015	2030	2040	2050
Indonesia	163	245	314	382	225	394	511	619	234	520	762	1035
Thailand	98	122	144	168	135	174	210	245	178	260	341	422
Vietnam	58	96	128	167	74	128	178	240	153	320	487	716
Philippines	30	58	82	114	52	97	132	175	82	186	287	434
Malaysia	52	71	82	92	86	120	142	158	150	250	321	388
Myanmar	18	31	43	59	20	35	50	69	16	66	103	149
Other ASEAN	17	22	24	24	29	34	36	38	55	68	73	76

Sources: IEEJ (2017) [14]

The projection shows that in 2050, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam have the highest total final energy demand with 382Mtoe, 168Mtoe and 167Mtoe respectively followed by Philippines, Malaysia and Myanmar with 114Mtoe, 92Mtoe and 59Mtoe respectively. Overall, ASEAN member countries shows an increase of final energy demand between 2015 and 2050. In term of electricity generation, in 2015 Indonesia is the biggest electricity producer in the region with a total electricity produced was 234TWh and it is projected to increase more than fourfold in 2050 to 1,035TWh. The second

largest electricity producer in the region is Thailand, followed by Viet Nam, Malaysia, Philippines, Myanmar and other ASEAN.

3.3 ASEAN Energy Import/Export by 2030

With the rapid industrialization in the region, energy trades are seen to help in filling up the lack of energy sources availability among ASEAN countries to meet its demand. Table 5 shows the net energy import by each ASEAN member countries between 2010 and 2030. It should be noted that a country with a negative net import reflecting that the country is actually a net exporting country. This indicator helps to determine the possibility of securing the energy supply within the region through existing and future energy infrastructure interconnection.

Table 5
Net Coal, Oil, Natural Gas and Electricity Imports

	Net Coal import (Mtoe)			Net Oil import (Mtoe)			Net Natural Gas import (Mtoe)			Net Electricity import (Mtoe)		
	2010	2020	2030	2010	2020	2030	2010	2020	2030	2010	2020	2030
Brunei	0	0	0	-7.54	-6.66	-5.09	-7.99	-7.1	-5.6	0	0	0
Indonesia	-142	-196	-279	19.28	53.63	98.74	-27.06	-6.5	36.2	0	0	0
Thailand	10.02	14.95	17.56	34.5	49.32	69.74	4.16	11.2	19.3	0.49	2.63	3.85
Malaysia	9.37	14.05	11.99	-7.7	11.45	25.16	-21.81	-36	-32	-0	-0	-0.02
Philippines	2.87	7.74	18.59	13.72	17.1	25.14	0	0	0	0	0	0
Singapore	0.12	0.63	0.49	56.8	72.15	85.13	7.82	8.91	9.5	0	0	0
Vietnam	-7.7	-4.03	7.45	-2.96	6.48	23.09	0.22	-1.7	9.34	0.48	0.69	0.69

Note: Statistics for Cambodia, the Lao PDR and Myanmar are not readily available. Projection up to 2050 is not available.
Source: APERC (2013) [17]

As tabulated in Table 5, Indonesia remains a major coal exporter in the region between 2010 and 2030. On the other hand, Thailand, Malaysia, Philippines and Singapore are net importers of coal until 2030. Brunei Darussalam is projected to remain as net oil exporter between 2010 and 2030 and the rest ASEAN member countries remain as net oil importer by 2030. Interestingly to note, Malaysia and Vietnam will change its position from net oil exporter in 2010 to net oil importer by 2020.

In terms of natural gas, Brunei Darussalam and Malaysia are expected to remain as net exporters between 2010 and 2030. However, Indonesia and Vietnam are expected to change their position from net exporters of natural gas in 2020 to become net importers of natural gas by 2030. Thailand and Singapore are expected to remain as the natural gas importers. On the net electricity import, Thailand and Vietnam are projected to remain as net importers of electricity through to 2030. On the other hand, Malaysia will remain as net exporter of electricity which is insignificant.

4. Energy Gap Challenges in Southeast Asia

The above analysis shows that there are several energy security concerns in the region that need to be addressed. The concerns of energy demand and supply gap are mainly contributed from the following three factors:

4.1 Rapidly Increasing of Energy Demand

The total primary energy supply is expected to increase 2.5 times in the projection period, increasing from 621 Mtoe in 2015 to 1,544 Mtoe in 2050, growing at an average rate of 2.6% annually.

4.2 Over-Dependency on Fossil-Fuel Resources to Meet Energy Demand

The share of fossil fuels in the total primary energy supply is expected to remain high throughout the projection period, with the share estimated to be around 80% by 2050. The high dependency on fossil-fuels coupled with the dwindling domestic fossil-fuel reserves will definitely compel the member countries to import more fossil-fuels at a high market price where the fuel price is volatile if thorough balanced fuel mix diversification is not carefully considered.

4.3 Increasing Dependence on Energy Imports Due to Depleting Domestic Resources

Increasing demand for fossil fuels, coupled with the depleting domestic fossil fuel reserves, is expected to result in net import by ASEAN member countries. This trend is particularly worrying for oil: net oil import for Indonesia is projected to quadruple from 19.28 Mtoe net oil import in 2010 to 98.74 Mtoe net oil import in 2030; net oil import for Malaysia is expected to triple from a negative 7.70 Mtoe (net exporter) in 2010 to 25.16 Mtoe net oil import in 2030; net oil import for Thailand is expected to double from 34.50 Mtoe net oil import in 2010 to 69.74 Mtoe net oil import in 2030; Similar to Malaysia, Vietnam will change from being a net oil exporter in 2010 to become a net oil importer in 2030. ASEAN countries are showing vulnerability signs on its energy security with the increasing dependency on fossil fuels import and compounded by the nature of oil market and contracts that normally allow for greater price volatility.

5. Governing Energy Resources in Southeast Asia

With a strategic options governing energy resources in the ASEAN region, it could also act as potential mitigation measures to address the energy security concerns in the region. These measures can be segregated into the following five main segments namely:

5.1 Diversifying Sources of Energy Supply

Intensifying indigenous gas and hydro resources development, securing more gas from foreign sources, strengthening and expanding supply infrastructures to facilitate regional interconnection and exploring and building capacity for the nuclear options. Promote the use of renewable energy such as solar and wind where the energy resources is abundant.

5.2 Reducing Carbon Content of Energy

Introduction of nuclear power plant; expansion use of decentralized and centralized renewable energy-based power generation; and utilization of bio-energy in transport sector and industry sector. Even though currently the prices for green technology remain high as compared to conventional technology, nevertheless with further R&D in the area of renewable energy technology, it will bring down its cost and be more competitive.

5.3 Efficient Utilization of Energy

Improvements in energy efficiency and conservation both in the supply and demand sectors; moving towards a low-energy intensive industry; transition to service-based economy; improvements in the transportation sector, i.e. efficiency and modal shifts; and introduction of smart

and green cities. Promotion the use of public transport will help to reduce GHG emissions through human behavioural change. Similarly, shifting from private vehicles to public transport system utilization such as railways and buses will greatly reduce GHG emissions with better connectivity to and from and transfer systems between cities, shops, shopping and culture centre for passengers should be improved and provided. Emphasis on the smart cities concept and use of information and communication technologies (ICT) to deliver it services in a more intelligent way with its resources availability will helps in cost and energy savings, improved service delivery and quality of life as well as reduced environmental footprint [18].

5.4 Facilitating Low-Carbon Industries and Service Development to Promote Economic Growth

Introduction of Feed-in Tariff Mechanism; manufacturing high energy efficiency products for example LED or PV-LED, smart grid system and smart metering products; and promoting energy efficiency improving services. The skilled and highly skilled human capital in a wide variety of different specialized disciplines is required and needed for the success of low carbon economy environment. This is because developing and adopting low carbon economy will require proper formulating of legislation and policy, law and political science, appropriate technological training and education specializing in low carbon economy to ensure work forces such as scientists, engineers, climatologists and energy and climate experts to meet the industry's needs.

5.5 Regional Interconnection of Energy Supply Infrastructure and Resources

Facilitate regional interconnection is one of the key measures for diversifying the energy supply. ASEAN member countries could cooperate and working together with each other's through interconnecting arrangements for electricity, natural gas and water such as Trans- ASEAN Gas pipelines and ASEAN Power Grids. Promote cooperation in energy efficiency and conservation as well as development of new and renewable energy resources".

6. Case Study: Effect of Renewable Energy on Energy Security in Malaysia

For the past few decades Malaysia has seriously embarked on renewable energy journey. Many initiatives were introduced to increase the planting up rate of renewable based power generation. The implementation of Renewable Energy Act in 2010 [19] and establishment of Feed-In Tariff mechanism particularly have resulted in tremendous increase of renewable energy project. The policy also detailed out the target on the renewable energy share to energy mix in Malaysia up to 2040.

As such, a brief analysis was conducted to assess the effect of renewable energy implementation on energy security in Malaysia. The energy security indicators used in this assessment are categorized into two elements, namely availability and acceptability. These two elements are used to assess the physical security and environmental sustainability on the implementation of renewable energy in Malaysia. The indicators used are listed in Table 6 to measure renewable energy effect on energy security in Malaysia. The analysis was based on historical and projection data from year 2005 to 2013 and from year 2014 to 2040 respectively. Primary energy data, macroeconomics data and statistics on emissions were collated from Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre [20] and Suruhanjaya Tenaga [21, 22].

Table 6
List of Energy Security Indicators

Item	Energy Security Indicators	Description
ES-1	CO_2 Emission	This indicator is the total GHG emissions (Mtonne CO_2) in the country.
ES-2	CO_2 Emission per Capita	This indicator calculates the ratio between total GHG emission and total population. The unit is tCO_2/capita.
ES-3	Renewable Energy Output	This indicator is the share of renewable energy output with hydro from the total electricity generation in percentage.
ES-4	Renewable Energy Output without Hydro	This indicator is the share of renewable energy output without hydro from the total electricity generation in percentage.
ES-5	Fossil Fuel Primary Consumption	This indicator is the share of fossil fuels from the total primary energy supply in percentage.
ES-6	Self-sufficiency of Primary Energy Supply	This indicator calculates the ratio between total primary energy production and total primary energy supply in percentage.

The data were normalized on the scale of ordinal values between 1 and 10 for comparable among all the identified energy security indicators. In the range of ordinal values between 1 and 10, the higher the ordinal values present a better energy security performance. There are two normalization functions used namely, normalization Eq. (1) and inverse normalization Eq. (2). Eq. (1) is used to determine energy security performance for ES-3, ES-4 and ES-6, otherwise Eq. (2) is used. In other words, Eq. (1) is used when the higher raw value indicates higher energy security and Eq. (2) is used when the higher raw value indicates lower energy security. The data normalization formula used by Tongsopit *et al.,* [23] and Sahid [24] was applied in this study.

$$X' = \frac{1+(X-MinA)(10-1)}{(MaxA-MinA)} \quad (1)$$

where; X' is Normalized value based on 1-10 scale, *MinA* is minimum value of data range A. *MaxA* is maximum value of data range A.

The inverse normalization formula depicted is shown in Eq. (2) below.

$$X'' = \frac{1+(X-MaxA)(10-1)}{(MinA-MaxA)} \quad (2)$$

where; X'' is Normalized value based on 1-10 scale, *MinA* is minimum value of data range A. *MaxA* is maximum value of data range A.

The results from the quantitative analysis depict the dynamic of renewable energy implementation related to energy security status in Malaysia. Table 7 shows the raw data collated, while Table 8 shows the ordinal scores after normalization using Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). The overall status of energy security is derived from the average of each ordinal score for each year. The higher average ordinal scores will represent the higher energy security performance for that particular year.

Table 7
Raw Data for Energy Security Indicators

	2005	2010	2013	2020	2030	2035	2040
ES-1 ($MtCO_2$)	155	188	207	248	306	336	363
ES-2 (tCO_2 per capita)	5.96	6.71	7.14	7.52	8.27	8.62	8.85
ES-3 (%)	6%	6%	9%	13%	16%	15%	16%
ES-4 (%)	0%	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	4%
ES- 5 (%)	94%	96%	94%	93%	93%	92%	93%
ES-6 (%)	100%	100%	100%	88%	71%	64%	57%

Table 8
Ordinal Scores for Malaysia Energy Security

	2005	2010	2013	2020	2030	2035	2040
ES-1	10.00	8.57	7.75	5.98	3.47	2.17	1.00
ES-2	10.00	7.66	6.33	5.14	2.81	1.72	1.00
ES-3	1.38	1.00	3.80	7.96	9.96	9.83	10.00
ES-4	1.00	2.69	2.53	6.61	8.36	8.92	10.00
ES-5	5.40	1.00	4.59	7.30	7.97	10.00	8.65
ES-6	10.00	10.00	10.00	7.49	3.93	2.47	1.00
AVERAGE	6.30	5.15	5.83	6.75	6.08	5.85	5.27

The results indicated in Table 8 shows that the overall average energy security performance increases from 5.15 in 2010 to 6.75 in 2020. This is mainly due to the implementation of Renewable Energy Act in 2011 and establishment of Feed-In Tariff mechanism. However, the overall average energy security performance shows a decreasing trend from 6.75 in 2020 to 5.27 in 2040. This finding clearly provides a signal to the policy makers to take further action to address energy security concerns with regard to the success of renewable energy implementation in Malaysia. Therefore, revising existing and formulating new policies are crucial to improve the energy supply security in Malaysia. Figure 2 shows the overall energy security trending status, where year 2020 has been indicated to be the cut-off year where measures need to be taken.

Energy security indicators for CO_2 emissions, CO_2 emissions per capita and self-sufficiency for primary energy supply shows a decreasing trend as observed in Figure 2. On the other hand, the share of renewable energy output in total electricity productions and the share of fossil fuel in total primary energy consumption is expected to improve Figure 2. The results indicate that renewable energy implementation alone will not be enough to improve the energy security for Malaysia. Therefore, other strategies are needed to further improve energy supply security in the country, such as diversifying energy sources, efficient utilization of energy and reducing carbon content of energy.



Fig. 2. Malaysia Energy Security Status

7. Conclusions

This paper has highlighted the key challenges of energy security concerns in most ASEAN countries and several mitigation measures to address the energy security issues face by ASEAN

countries are proposed. Even though the level of economic development and energy demand in each ASEAN countries are different, nevertheless the issue concerning the energy security facing by all the ASEAN countries still remain the same.

The main issue facing by all the ASEAN countries for decades are mostly on how to reduce the energy demand and supply gap contributed mainly from the rapid increase of energy demand; over-dependency on fossil-fuel resources to meet energy demand; and increasing dependence on energy imports due to depletion of domestic resources. Government policy towards energy security through fossil fuel diversifying sources of energy supply, promoting green economy and collaboration in the regional interconnection of energy supply infrastructure and resource will greatly help to reduce energy security in the country. The energy security in Malaysia on the implementation of renewable energy looking into the availability and acceptability elements indicate that measures and actions need to be taken immediately beyond 2020 as the current policy may not sufficient to help to expedite or to promote the implementation of renewable energy in the country.

Therefore, it is very important for ASEAN countries to closely work together to solve the common energy security issues in the region to ensure sustainable of energy in the near future.

Acknowledgment

This research work in Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN) and Institute of Energy Policy and Research (IEPRe) is supported and funded by Innovation and Research Management Centre under Internal Research Grant OPEX, Grant Type: - RJO10436494-iRMC Publication Fund. Any of the opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Universiti Tenaga Nasional or the Institute.

References

[1] Blum, Helcio, and Luiz FL Legey. "The challenging economics of energy security: Ensuring energy benefits in support to sustainable development." *Energy Economics* 34, no. 6 (2012): 1982-1989.

[2] Kruyt, Bert, Detlef P. van Vuuren, Han JM de Vries, and Heleen Groenenberg. "Indicators for energy security." *Energy policy* 37, no. 6 (2009): 2166-2181.

[3] Seung-Hoon Yoo. "The causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the ASEAN countries." *Energy policy* 34, no. 18 (2006): 3573-3582.

[4] Tan Ching Sin, Kumar Maragatham, and Yow Peng Leong. "Electricity energy outlook in Malaysia." In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 16, no. 1 (2013): 012126.

[5] Tzimas, Evangelos, and Aliki Georgakaki. "A long-term view of fossil-fuelled power generation in Europe." *Energy Policy* 38, no. 8 (2010): 4252-4264.

[6] Basri, Nor Afifah, Ahmad Termizi Ramli, and Abubakar Sadiq Aliyu. "Malaysia energy strategy towards sustainability: a panoramic overview of the benefits and challenges." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 42 (2015): 1094-1105.

[7] Fairuz, S. M. C., M. Y. Sulaiman, Chin Haw Lim, Sohif Mat, B. Ali, O. Saadatian, Mohd Hafidz Ruslan, E. Salleh, and K. Sopian. "Long term strategy for electricity generation in Peninsular Malaysia–Analysis of cost and carbon footprint using MESSAGE." *Energy policy* 62 (2013): 493-502.

[8] Gyamfi, Samuel, Mawufemo Modjinou, and Sinisa Djordjevic. "Improving electricity supply security in Ghana—The potential of renewable energy." *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews* 43 (2015): 1035-1045..

[9] Muhammad Adil Khattak, Nurul Syahrizzat Mohd Yasin, Hannah Natasha Andjani, Puteri Nurailah Husna Mohd Tajuddin, Sakeshraj Narajah, See Zhi Fei, Soh Ann Ting, and Suhail Kazi. "Global energy security and North America: A review." *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Sciences and Engineering Technology* 11, no.1 (2018): 82-98.

[10] Endang Jati Mat Sahid, C Ch Siang. "Enhancing energy security in Malaysia: The challenges towards sustainable environment." *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Sciences* 16 (2013): 012120.

[11] APERC, (2007). A Quest for Energy Security in the 21st Century: Resources and Constraints. Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre, Tokyo.

[12] Muhamad Mutasim Billah Tufail, Jafni Azhan Ibrahim, and Mustakim Melan. "Conceptualizing energy security and the role of diversification as key indicator against energy supply disruption." *Journal of Advanced Research in Bussiness and Management Studies* 11, no. 1 (2018): 1-9.

[13] Christian Winzer (2011). *Conceptualizing Energy Security*. EPRG Working Paper. University of Cambridge.
[14] IEEJ, Asia/World Energy Outlook 2018 (2017). *Energy, Environemnt and Economy*. IEEJ: January 2018.
[15] ACE (2017). The 5th ASEAN Energy Outlook (AEO5). ASEAN Centre for Energy (ACE), Jakarta.
[16] IRENA & ACE (2016). *Renewable Energy Outlook for ASEAN: a REmap Analysis*. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), Abu Dhabi and ASEAN Centre for Energy (ACE), Jakarta.
[17] APERC (2013). *Energy Demand and Supply Outlook*. 5th Edition. Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre, Tokyo.
[18] Endang Jati Mat Sahid, Tan Ching Sin, and Yoow Peng Leong. "Sustainable energy development: A case study for Malaysia." *16th International Conference on ISO & TQM, 11-13 July 2012.* Linnaeus University, Vaxjo, Sweeden.
[19] Muhammad Adil Khattak, Lee Jun Keat, Khairul Anwar Bapujee, Tan Xin Hui, Amirul Syafiq Othman, Afiq Danial Abd Rasid, Lailatul Fitriyah Ahmad Shafii, and Suhail Kazi. "Global energy security and Malaysian perspective: A review." *Progress in Energy and Environment* 6 (2018): 1-18.
[20] APERC (2016). Energy Demand and Supply Outloo. 6th Edition. Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre, Tokyo.
[21] Suruhanjaya Tenaga (Energy Commission). *National Energy Balance* (2016). Putrajaya, Malaysia.
[22] Suruhanjaya Tenaga (Emergy commision). *Energy Statistic Handbook* (2017). Putrajaya, Malaysia.
[23] Tongsopit, Sopitsuda, Noah Kittner, Youngho Chang, Apinya Aksornkij, and Weerin Wangjiraniran. "Energy security in ASEAN: A quantitative approach for sustainable energy policy." *Energy policy* 90 (2016): 60-72.
[24] Sahid, Endang Jati Mat. "Energy security in Malaysia: A quantitative analysis." *International Journal of Engineering and Technology (UAE)* 7, no. 4 (2018): 400-403.