

# Numerical Analysis on the Flow Depth Behaviour in Dividing Open-Channel for Trapezoidal and Rectangular Cross-Sectional Channel

Puteri Nadia Shafiqah Harmizi<sup>1</sup>, Siti Aimi Asyarah Zakaria<sup>2</sup>, Iskandar Shah Mohd Zawawi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mohd Ridza Mohd Haniffah<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Water and Environment Engineering, School of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received 2 June 2023 Received in revised form 30 July 2023 Accepted 8 August 2023 Available online 24 August 2023	Open-channel with dividing flow refers to any side water withdrawals from streams, rivers or main channel. It has become an important issue, especially when measuring the flow rate and depth of water as part of environmental management schemes and many practical projects such as irrigation and drainage systems, water and wastewater treatment plants, and other water resources projects. Although the behavior of water flow has been studied by previous researchers, most studies have only been carried out in simulation and lab experiments for a straight prismatic main channel. The mathematical research has not yet been fully understood, particularly in relation to the behavior of water flow. Therefore, in this study, the modified general equations of dividing open-channel flow for rectangular and trapezoidal in the form of non-linear polynomial equations are proposed to determine the upstream flow depth in rectangular and trapezoidal cross-sectional channels. The computations of water flow depth influenced by Froude number and discharge ratio are performed with the Newton-Raphson procedure in Maple software. The numerical solution shows good agreement with the experimental data as reported in the literature. When the discharge ratio is low, the flow depth in trapezoidal and rectangular channels exhibits similarity. However, as the discharge ratio increases, the flow depth in the rectangular channel surpasses that in the trapezoidal channel. Furthermore, as the Froude number increases, the rectangular channel experiences a greater flow depth in comparison to the trapezoidal
	channel.

#### 1. Introduction

An open-channel flow is a type of watercourse that permits some of the flow to pass through a right-angled junction, also known as a T-junction, which is frequently found in water engineering applications. This type of flow is mainly characterized by inflow and outflow discharges, upstream and downstream water depth, and a lateral outflow. Open-channel flow becomes increasingly

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.108.2.98109

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, College of Computing, Informatics and Mathematics, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

*E-mail address: iskandarshah@uitm.edu.my* 

complex and challenging due to the vast array of parameters and intricate flow characteristics involved. The hydraulic behavior of open-channel flow depends on the system environments such as the shape of the cross-sectional channel and the type of the flow, which can be classified into the critical flow, subcritical flow, and supercritical flow [1]. Other characteristics of open-channel junctions are dividing and combining types of flow [2-14]. In dividing types of junctions, the main channel is divided into two branches, while for the combining type, the two branches channel is combined into one channel.

One-dimensional (1D) theoretical models represent a straightforward and useful approach to analysing open-channel combining flows. These models typically involve the application of the mass continuity equation, along with a simplified momentum or energy conservation equation, specifically at the junction area [15]. In a study conducted by Shabayek *et al.*, [16], their model pioneered the development of a general non-linear model based on the theory of momentum with more physical effects such as boundary friction forces and non-right-angle junctions. The model of two control volumes using the approach shown by Shabayek *et al.*, [16] has been verified analytically for dividing steady flow by Rashwan [5]. Furthermore, Ghostine *et al.*, [17] presented a comparison with the 1D dynamic model proposed by Shabayek *et al.*, [16] with the 2D model, while Luo *et al.*, [18] made a comparison with the 3D model. In earlier studies, most of the equations of right-angled and short branch channels with equal width have been developed to determine the upstream-to-downstream depth ratio at the junction [19]. However, researchers have attempted to derive a model of the straight prismatic channel with different branching angles of the junction [17,18,20].

The most common channel sections of open channel flow that have been studied by the previous research are trapezoidal and rectangular. For instance, Mohammed [21] proposed a numerical solution of two ordinary differential equations for discharge and flow depth in a rectangular cross-sectional channel using Euler's method. Results referred to the possibility of correlating water surface profile, water depth, and flow discharge numerically as well as the possibility of increasing flow discharge passing through the branch channel. Schindfessel *et al.*, [22] elaborated on the influence of the cross-sectional shape (semi-circular, rectangular, and trapezoidal) of the separation zone in a junction using large-eddy simulation models to simulate the complex turbulent flow. The diversion flow ratio under different frequencies was calculated using the Mike11HD hydrodynamic model [23]. The governing equation for hydrodynamic calculations is the Saint-Venant equation, which describes the open channel's one-dimensional unsteady flow, including the continuity equation and the momentum equation.

Research on dividing open-channel flow later advanced with the exploration of mathematical and numerical modelling approaches. A mathematical model based on the continuity and momentum principle was proposed by Pandey and Mishra [24] to investigate the relationship between depth ratio and complex flow features of combining and dividing flow in rectangular and trapezoidal cross-sectional channels. The results proved that the flow depth ratio in rectangular and trapezoidal increases when the discharge ratio increases. Shahari *et al.,* [25] have detailed the derivation of the dividing flow equation proposed by Pandey and Mishra [24] using techniques of algebraic manipulation and ratio principle to investigate the relationship between flow rate ratio and dividing angles. The study's results revealed an appropriate dividing angle to avoid overflow, in which the flow rate after the junction is below critical flow.

In a recent study, Zawawi *et al.,* [26] investigated the relationship between flow depth, Froude number, and flow rate ratio by solving the non-linear polynomial equation of combining flow for trapezoidal and V-shaped channels. As the Froude number and flow rate ratio increase, the value of flow depth in the trapezoidal channel is higher than in the V-shaped channel. However, most existing general equations of open-channel flow with various parameters are complicated to be solved.

Furthermore, the aim of this research is to derive the simplified version of general equations of dividing flow for trapezoidal and rectangular cross-sectional shapes in the form of polynomial equations. By solving the resulting equation using the Newton-Raphson procedure, the relationship between discharge ratio, Froude number, flow depth ratio, and shape of the cross-sectional is analyzed and discussed.

# 2. Methodology

# 2.1 Description of Channel

The characteristics of the open channel dividing flow and its cross-sectional properties have to be considered for the equation of the mathematical model. The schematic layout of the dividing channel is illustrated in Figure 1. The notation of 0 is main channel, while 1 and 2 are channel 1 and channel 2 respectively. Q is the flow rate in each channel, b is the bottom width of the channel,  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are angles of the branch channel.



Fig. 1. The open channel dividing flow

The dividing flow from the main channel to two branch channels may be determined with the aid of momentum principle and mass continuity with the following assumptions:

- i. All channels are straight in which the cross-sectional shape and size, also the bottom slope is constant (prismatic channel).
- ii. The main channel is connected to two branch channels.
- iii. The flow takes place from the main channel to the channel 1 and channel 2.
- iv. The flow is parallel to channel walls and the velocity is uniformly distributed immediately above and below the junction.
- v. The wall friction is neglected as compared to other forces.
- vi. The depth of the flow in the main channel is equal to channel 1 and channel 2.

# 2.2 Formulation of the Dividing Flow Equation

The determination of flow behaviour can be calculated based on the continuity of flow equation for steady one-dimensional flow:

# $Q_0 = Q_1 + Q_2$

where  $Q_0 = A_0V_0$ ,  $Q_1 = A_1V_1$ , and  $Q_2 = A_2V_2$  are discharge in the main channel, channel after junction, and branch channel respectively. For each channel, these equations state that the discharge, Q is equivalent to the product of the channel velocity, V and area of the flow, A. While it is possible to estimate or survey the channel shape, it may not be as practical to manually, or physically measure the flow velocity. When measurements of the channel or flow velocity are unavailable, Manning's equation can be used to determine the velocity. Manning's equation,  $V = \frac{1}{n} R_h^{2/3} S^{1/2}$ , where n = 0.035, is Manning's roughness coefficient for the major river, V is the mean velocity of flow in meter (m) per second,  $R_h$  is hydraulic radius (area of the flow section per wetted perimeter,  $W_p$  of flow in channel), S is the slope of the channel in meter (vertical) per foot (horizontal) [27].

As the dividing of open channel flow model is an application of fluid dynamics, the momentum principle used is slightly different from classical physics. Momentum is usually a product of mass and velocity. In the open channel flow model, the momentum is generally known as the product of mass flow rate and velocity. The general equation of the momentum principle is given as follows:

$$-\rho Q V_1 + \rho Q V_2 = \overline{P}_1 A_1 - \overline{P}_2 A_2 \tag{2}$$

The terms of where  $\rho$  is the fluid density and  $\overline{P}$  is the average pressure. By applying the Eq. (2) and Eq. (1) in the flow direction of the main channel, the following equation is obtained:

$$P_{0} - P_{2}\cos\theta_{2} - P_{1}\cos\theta_{1} - \Delta P = \frac{\gamma}{g} (Q_{1}V_{1}\cos\theta_{1} + Q_{2}V_{2}\cos\theta_{2} - Q_{0}V_{0}) + U_{1} + U_{2}$$
(3)

where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are the momentum transfer from the main channel to branch channel and can be written as:

$$U_1 = \rho Q_1 V_0 C \sin \theta_1, U_2 = \rho Q_2 V_0 C \sin \theta_2$$

The geometric characteristics of trapezoidal and rectangular channels to derive the models are presented in Table 1.

lable 1				
The geometric properties of typical trapezoidal and				
rectangular cross-sectional channels				
Geometric properties	Trapezoidal	Rectangular		
Cross-sectional area, A	$by + zy^2$	by		
Wetted perimeter, $W_P$	$b+2y\sqrt{1+z^2}$	b+2y		
Hydraulic radius, $R_h$	$by + zy^2$	by		
	$b+2y\sqrt{1+z^2}$	b+2y		
Top width, T	b+2zy	b		

(1)

#### 2.2.1 The polynomial equation for trapezoidal channel

The shape of trapezoidal cross-sectional is illustrated in Figure 2, where the side slope of the channel, z, top width, T, bottom width, b and depth of flow, y are the geometric details of the cross-sectional channel.



Fig. 2. Diagram of trapezoidal cross-sectional channel

The general equation for the trapezoidal channel is defined as follows [25]:

$$(1+2k_0)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-y_r^2-Br_2y_r^2\cos\theta_2\right)+\frac{k_0}{3}\left(1-y_r^3-y_r^3\cos\theta_2\right)\right]$$
  
=  $F_0^2\left(1+k_0\right)^2\left[C\left(q_r\sin\theta_2+(1-q_r)\sin\theta_1\right)+\frac{1+k_0}{y_r}\left(\frac{\left(1-q_r\right)^2}{Br_1+k_0y_r}\cos\theta_1+\frac{q_r^2}{Br_2+k_0y_r}\cos\theta_2\right)-1\right].$  (4)

where  $k_0 = \frac{zy_0}{b_0}$ ,  $Br_1 = \frac{b_1}{b_0}$ ,  $Br_2 = \frac{b_2}{b_1}$ . Expand Eq. (4), yields:

$$-\frac{F_0^2(1+k_0)^3(1-q_r)^2}{\left(Br_1y_r+k_0y_r^2\right)}\cos\theta_1 - \frac{F_0^2(1+k_0)^3q_r^2}{\left(Br_2y_r+k_0y_r^2\right)}\cos\theta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1+2k_0) - \frac{y_r^2}{2}(1+2k_0) - \frac{y_r$$

Eq. (5) cannot be solved for any values of angles  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  unless one of the angles is at  $90^0$ . Hence, the bifurcation angle in the interval  $0^0 \le \theta_1 \le 90^0$  and  $\theta_2 = 90^0$  is considered, in which one of the trigonometry in the equations is eliminated. Then, both sides of the resulting equation are multiplied with  $Br_1y_r + k_0y_r^2$ , yields:

$$-F_{0}^{2}(1+k_{0})^{3}(1-q_{r}^{2})\cos\theta_{1} = \left[\frac{1}{2}(1+2k_{0})-\frac{y_{r}^{2}}{2}(1+2k_{0})+\frac{k_{0}}{3}(1+2k_{0})-\frac{k_{0}}{3}(1+2k_{0})y_{r}^{3}+F_{0}^{2}(1+k_{0})^{2}-F_{0}^{2}C(1+k_{0})^{2}(1-q_{r})\sin\theta_{1}\right]$$

$$\left(Br_{1}y_{r}+k_{0}y_{r}^{2}\right)$$

Expand Eq. (6) and rearrange in the following form:

$$-\frac{k_{0}^{2}}{3}(1+2k_{0})y_{r}^{5} + \left[-\frac{Br_{1}k_{0}}{3}(1+2k_{o}) - \frac{k_{o}}{2}(1+2k_{o})\right]y_{r}^{4} - \frac{Br_{1}}{2}(1+2k_{o})y_{r}^{3} + \left[\frac{k_{o}}{2}(1+2k_{o}) + \frac{k_{o}^{2}}{3}(1+2k_{o}) + F_{o}^{2}k_{o}(1+k_{o})^{2} - F_{o}^{2}Ck_{o}(1+k_{o})^{2}(1-q_{r})\sin\theta_{1} - \right]y_{r}^{2}$$

$$+ \left[\frac{Br_{1}}{2}(1+2k_{o}) + \frac{Br_{1}k_{0}}{3}(1+2k_{o}) + Br_{1}F_{0}^{2}C(1+k_{0})^{2}q_{r}\right]y_{r} + F_{o}^{2}(1+k_{o})^{3}(1-q_{r})^{2}\cos\theta_{1} = 0$$

$$(7)$$

The similar techniques as described in the previous study are applied to simplify the general equation of dividing flow in a trapezoidal cross-sectional channel [26]. Therefore, the simplified of Eq. (7) is given by:

$$r(y_r) = ay_r^5 + by_r^4 + dy_r^2 + fy_r + g$$
(8)

where:

$$\begin{aligned} r(y_r) &= 0, \\ a(k_o) &= -\frac{k_o^2}{3}(1+2k_o), \\ b(k_o, Br_1) &= -\frac{Br_1k_0}{3}(1+2k_o) - \frac{k_o}{2}(1+2k_o), \\ d(k_o, Br_1) &= -\frac{Br_1}{2}(1+2k_o), \\ e(k_o, C, F_o, \theta_1, q_r) &= \frac{k_o}{2}(1+2k_o) + \frac{k_o^2}{3}(1+2k_o) + F_o^2k_o(1+k_o)^2 - F_o^2Ck_o(1+k_o)^2(1-q_r)\sin\theta_1 \\ -F_oCk_o(1+k_o)^2q_r, \end{aligned}$$

$$f(k_o, C, F_o, Br_1, q_r) = \frac{Br_1}{2}(1+2k_0) + \frac{Br_1k_0}{3}(1+2k_0) + Br_1F_0^2C(1+k_0)^2q_r,$$
  
$$g(k_o, F_o, \theta_1, q_r) = F_o^2(1+k_o)^3(1-q_r)^2\cos\theta_1.$$

#### 2.2.2 The polynomial equations for a rectangular channel

Figure 3 shows the typical rectangular cross-sectional channel. The geometric details of the rectangular channels consist of top width, T, bottom width, b and depth of flow, y.



channel

The general equations for a rectangular cross-sectional channel can be retrieved from Eq. (4) by letting  $k_0 = 0$ . Hence, the following equation is obtained:

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1-y_{r}^{2}-Br_{2}y_{r}^{2}\cos\theta_{2}\right)=F_{0}^{2}\left[C\left(q_{r}\sin\theta_{2}+(1-q_{r})\sin\theta_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{y_{r}}\left(\frac{\left(1-q_{r}\right)^{2}}{Br_{1}}\cos\theta_{1}+\frac{q_{r}^{2}}{Br_{2}}\cos\theta_{2}\right)-1\right].$$
(9)

The same steps from the previous section are taken to derive the polynomial equation for the rectangular cross-sectional channel. Expand Eq. (9), yields:

$$\frac{-F_0^2(1-q_r)^2}{Br_1y_r}\cos\theta_1 - \frac{-F_0^2q_r^2}{Br_2y_r}\cos\theta_2 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{y_r^2}{2} + \frac{Br_2y_r^2}{2}\cos\theta_2 - F_0^2 + F_0^2C(1-q_r)\sin\theta_1 + F_0^2Cq_r\sin\theta_2$$
(10)

Then, a similar branching angle as trapezoidal cross-sectional is assumed. By eliminating one of the trigonometry in Eq. (10) and multiplying with  $Br_1y_r$ , the polynomial equation for rectangular cross-sectional channel is obtained:

$$\frac{Br_1}{2}y_r^3 + \left[-\frac{Br_1}{2} - Br_1F_0^2 + Br_1F_0^2C(1-q_r)\sin\theta_1 + Br_1F_0^2Cq_r\right]y_r + F_o^2(1-q_r)^2\cos\theta_1 = 0$$
(11)

Therefore, the simplified Eq. (11) is:

$$r(y_r) = j.y_r^3 + k.y_r + l$$
(12)

where;

$$\begin{aligned} r(y_r) &= 0, \\ j(Br_1) &= \frac{Br_1}{2}, \\ k(Br_1, F_0, \theta_1, C, q_r) &= -\frac{Br_1}{2} - Br_1F_0^2 + Br_1F_0^2C(1 - q_r)\sin\theta_1 + Br_1F_0^2Cq_r, \\ l(F_0, q_r, \theta_1) &= F_o^2(1 - q_r)^2\cos\theta_1. \end{aligned}$$

#### 3. Results and Analysis

The geometrical properties of the channel junction are given in Table 2, while Table 3 shows the experimental and measured hydraulic details of the trapezoidal and rectangular channels [24]. From Table 2 we can see that the value of the bottom width, b for the trapezoidal and rectangular channels, has been kept different for all channels. Further, the angle of the branch for branch channel 1 and branch channel 2 has been kept at 30° and 90° respectively to the main channel. However, there are four parameters involved in this research which are  $k_0$ ,  $F_0$ ,  $q_r$ , and measured depth ( $y_0$  and  $y_1$ ).

Table 2

The geometrical properties of the channel junction				
Type of channel	Channel	Bottom width (m), <i>b</i> Dividing angle from the direction of flow in main chan		
Rectangular	0	0.450	NA	
	1	0.350	30°	
	2	0.250	90°	
Trapezoidal	0	0.272	NA	
	1	0.172	30°	
	2	0.072	90°	

Table 3						
Experimental and measured characteristics of the channels					nannels	
Type of channel		$k_0$	$F_0$	$q_r$	Measured Depth	
					$\mathcal{Y}_0$	$y_1$
Rectangular	R1	0.000	0.238	0.380	0.1097	0.1107
	R2	0.000	0.401	0.600	0.1146	0.1156
	R3	0.000	0.410	0.810	0.1151	0.1163
Trapezoidal	R1	0.226	0.303	0.435	0.1065	0.1097
	R2	0.188	0.188	0.322	0.0885	0.0907
	R3	0.200	0.404	0.635	0.0942	0.0995

### 3.1 Experiment Validation

Table 2 and Table 3 are used to calculate the predicted flow characteristics for the rectangular and trapezoidal cross-sectional channels by using the proposed equation. However, three sets of experimental data (R1, R2, and R3) taken from the previous study are used to validate the proposed model for the trapezoidal and rectangular channels [24]. In Table 4, the results from the proposed model give a good agreement between experiments and predicted,  $y_1$  with the estimated error of 2.61%, 2.71%, 2.95% for trapezoidal and 0.91%, 1.73%, 2.41% for rectangular. The proposed equation shows that the predicted depth,  $y_1$  matches very well with the experimental data. Table 4

Comparison flow depth in experiment and mathematical model					
Type of channel		Measured Depth (Experiment), $y_1$	Depth ratio, $y_r$	Predicted depth, $y_1$	Error (%)
Rectangular	R1	0.1107	0.9999	0.1097	0.91
	R2	0.1156	1.0259	0.1176	1.73
	R3	0.1163	1.0348	0.1191	2.41
Trapezoidal	R1	0.1097	1.0032	0.1068	2.61
	R2	0.0907	0.9971	0.0882	2.71
	R3	0.0995	1.0251	0.0966	2.95

3.2 Parametric Investigation: Comparative Flow Characteristics

Comparative prediction of flow depth is studied for trapezoidal and rectangular cross-sectional channels with similar flow conditions. The parametric investigation is based on two parameters which are  $F_0$  and  $q_r$ . The R3 data in Table 2 and Table 3 are used as fixed variables to analyse the effect of parameters on  $y_r$ . The property values of other cases are obtained by varying each parameter, whilst keeping the other value constant. The effect of  $F_0$  and  $q_r$  on  $y_r$  has been analysed and shown in Table 5 and Table 6.

<b>Table 5</b> The effect of $q_r$ on $y_r$					
$q_r$	<i>Y<sub>r</sub></i>				
	Trapezoidal	Rectangular			
0.2	0.96	0.96			
0.4	1.00	1.00			
0.6	1.02	1.03			
0.8	1.03	1.03			
Table 6					
The effect of $F_0$ on $y_r$					
$F_0$	$y_r$				

<b>1</b> 0	$y_r$			
	Trapezoidal	Rectangular		
0.2	1.00	1.01		
0.4	1.02	1.03		
0.6	1.06	1.08		
0.8	1.11	1.14		

For simplicity, Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the dependence of depth ratio on various parameters, which are Froude number,  $F_0$  and discharge ratio,  $q_r$  respectively. Figure 4 shows that the depth ratio increases when the discharge ratio increases for both cross-sectional channels. The trapezoidal and rectangular channels have a similar highest value of  $y_r$  when  $q_r = 0.8$ . In Figure 5, the highest value of  $y_r$  is 1.14 for the rectangular channel and 1.11 for the trapezoidal channel with the increase of  $F_0$ . In conclusion, these results give the similar pattern to that in study by Pandey and Mishra [24] in which the main factors to influence the water depth in the branch channel system are the discharge ratio and Froude number.



**Fig. 4.** The graph of effect of discharge ratio on depth ratio for trapezoidal and rectangular



**Fig. 5.** The graph of effect of Froude number on depth ratio for trapezoidal and rectangular

#### 4. Conclusions

A simple and practical equation has been studied through a mathematical study to help engineers of water resources discover the most appropriate shape of cross-sectional channel and branching angle in dividing flow. The simplified version of the general equation for dividing flow has been developed in the form of polynomial equations by considering the branching angles in channel after junction and branch channel are  $\theta_1 = 30^\circ$  and  $\theta_2 = 90^\circ$  respectively. Two parameters, which are Froude number and discharge ratio affect the behaviour of the water flow with trapezoidal and rectangular cross-sectional channels. At low discharge ratios, both channels exhibit similar depth ratios. However, as the discharge ratio increases, the flow depth in the rectangular channel surpasses that of the trapezoidal channel. Moreover, as the Froude number increases, the rectangular channel experiences a consistently higher rate of flow depth increase compared to the trapezoidal channel.

# Acknowledgment

The research work is supported by the Geran Insentif Penyeliaan (GIP) (600-RMC/GIP 5/3 (111/2022)) and Journal Support Fund (JSF) from the Institute of Graduate Studies (IPSis), Universiti Teknologi MARA.

#### References

- [1] Kesserwani, Georges, José Vazquez, Nicolas Rivière, Qiuhua Liang, Gilbert Travin, and Robert Mosé. "New approach for predicting flow bifurcation at right-angled open-channel junction." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 136, no. 9 (2010): 662-668. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.0000222</u>
- [2] Ghostine, Rabih, Jose Vazquez, Abdelali Terfous, N. Rivière, Abdellah Ghenaim, and Robert Mosé. "A comparative study of 1D and 2D approaches for simulating flows at right angled dividing junctions." *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 219, no. 10 (2013): 5070-5082. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.11.048</u>
- [3] Barkdoll, Brian D., Brad L. Hagen, and A. Jacob Odgaard. "Experimental comparison of dividing open-channel with duct flow in T-junction." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 124, no. 1 (1998): 92-95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1998)124:1(92)</u>
- [4] Ramamurthy, A. S., Junying Qu, and Diep Vo. "Numerical and experimental study of dividing open-channel flows." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 133, no. 10 (2007): 1135-1144. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2007)133:10(1135)</u>
- [5] Rashwan, I. M. H. "Dynamic Model for Subcritical Dividing Flows in Open Channel Junction." In *Proc. of 8th Int. Water Tech. Conf., IWTC.* 2004.
- [6] Hsu, Chung-Chieh, Chii-Jau Tang, Wen-Jung Lee, and Mon-Yi Shieh. "Subcritical 90 equal-width open-channel dividing flow." Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 128, no. 7 (2002): 716-720. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2002)128:7(716)</u>
- [7] Neary, Vincent S., and A. Jacob Odgaard. "Three-dimensional flow structure at open-channel diversions." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 119, no. 11 (1993): 1223-1230. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1993)119:11(1223)</u>
- [8] El Kadi Abderrezzak, Kamal, Leszek Lewicki, Andre Paquier, Nicolas Riviere, and Gilbert Travin. "Division of critical flow at three-branch open-channel intersection." *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 49, no. 2 (2011): 231-238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2011.558174</u>
- [9] Sayed, Tarek. "An experimental study of branching flow in open channels." *Limnological Review* 19, no. 2 (2019): 93-101. <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/limre-2019-0008</u>
- [10] Kesserwani, Georges, Rabih Ghostine, José Vazquez, Robert Mosé, Maher Abdallah, and Abdellah Ghenaim. "Simulation of subcritical flow at open-channel junction." *Advances in Water Resources* 31, no. 2 (2008): 287-297. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2007.08.007</u>
- [11] Ramamurthy, Amruthur S., Luis B. Carballada, and Due Minn Tran. "Combining open channel flow at right angled junctions." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 114, no. 12 (1988): 1449-1460. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1988)114:12(1449)</u>
- [12] Schindfessel, Laurent, Stéphan Creëlle, and Tom De Mulder. "Flow patterns in an open channel confluence with increasingly dominant tributary inflow." *Water* 7, no. 9 (2015): 4724-4751. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w7094724</u>
- [13] Pandey, Abhishek K., and Pranab K. Mohapatra. "3D simulation of flow in a right angled channel junction with a pit." In World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2019, pp. 144-158. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers, 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784482353.014</u>
- [14] Biswal, Sushant K., Pranab Mohapatra, and K. Muralidhar. "Hydraulics of combining flow in a right-angled compound open channel junction." Sadhana 41 (2016): 97-110. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-015-0442-y</u>
- [15] Zhou, Jie, Cheng Zeng, Zhou Zhou, Ling-ling Wang, and Yu-ran Yin. "Energy and momentum correction coefficients within contraction zone in open-channel combining flows." *Water Science and Engineering* 14, no. 4 (2021): 337-344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wse.2021.09.002</u>
- [16] Shabayek, Shazy, Peter Steffler, and F. E. Hicks. "Dynamic model for subcritical combining flows in channel junctions." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 128, no. 9 (2002): 821-828. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2002)128:9(821)</u>
- [17] Ghostine, Rabih, Jose Vazquez, Abdelali Terfous, Robert Mose, and Abdellah Ghenaim. "Comparative study of 1D and 2D flow simulations at open-channel junctions." *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 50, no. 2 (2012): 164-170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2012.661563</u>
- [18] Luo, Hao, Dimitrios K. Fytanidis, Arthur R. Schmidt, and Marcelo H. García. "Comparative 1D and 3D numerical investigation of open-channel junction flows and energy losses." *Advances in water resources* 117 (2018): 120-139.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2018.05.012

- [19] Webber, Norman Bruton, and C. A. Greated. "An investigation of flow behaviour at the junction of rectangular channels." *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers* 34, no. 3 (1966): 321-334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1680/iicep.1966.8925</u>
- [20] Yen, Ben Chie. "Open channel flow resistance." *Journal of hydraulic engineering* 128, no. 1 (2002): 20-39. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2002)128:1(20)
- [21] Mohammed, Ahmed Y. "Numerical analysis of flow over side weir." *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences* 27, no. 1 (2015): 37-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2013.03.004</u>
- [22] Schindfessel, Laurent, Stéphan Creëlle, and Tom De Mulder. "How different cross-sectional shapes influence the separation zone of an open-channel confluence." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 143, no. 9 (2017): 04017036. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.0001336</u>
- [23] Song, Weiwei, and Yong Pang. "Research on the influence of diversion flow ratio on the water environment in Nanjing." Water Supply 19, no. 8 (2019): 2367-2378. <u>https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2019.120</u>
- [24] Pandey, Ajay Kumar, and Rakesh Mishra. "Comparison of flow characteristics at rectangular and trapezoidal channel junctions." In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 364, no. 1, p. 012141. IOP Publishing, 2012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/364/1/012141</u>
- [25] Shahari, Nor Azni, Nor Arif Husaini Norwaza, Iskandar Shah Mohd Zawawi, Nurisha Adrina Mohd Kamarul, and Aimi Said. "Numerical Investigation on the Behavior of Combining Open-Channel Flow." Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science 23 no. 2 (2021): 1110–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v23.i2.pp1110-1119</u>
- [26] Zawawi, Iskandar Shah Mohd, Nur Lina Abdullah, Hazleen Aris, Badrul Amin Jaafar, Nur Arif Husaini Norwaza, and Muhammad Haris Fadzillah Mohd Yunos. "Mathematical Modeling for Flood Mitigation: Effect of bifurcatioin Angles in River Flowrates." *Civil Engineering and Architecture* 7, no. 6A (2019): 50-57. <u>https://doi.org/10.13189/cea.2019.071406</u>
- [27] Nohani, Ebrahim. "Estimating the Manning's Roughness Coefficient in Rivers by Experimental Method." *Foot* 1, no. 6 (2019): 50.