

Experimental Biomass Gasification in Updraft Gasifier with Gas Outlet at Reduction Zone and Air Supply using Suction Blower

Fajri Vidian^{1,*}, Abetnego Situmeang¹, Heni Fitriani², Taufik Arief³, Muksin Saleh⁴

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Ogan Ilir, Sumatera – Selatan, Indonesia

² Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Ogan Ilir, Sumatera – Selatan, Indonesia

³ Department of Mining Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Ogan Ilir, Sumatera – Selatan, Indonesia

⁴ Research Center for Process and Manufacturing Industry Technology, National Research and Innovation Agency, Kawasan Sains and Teknologi B.J. Habibie Serpong, Tanggerang Selatan, 15314, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received 7 January 2024 Received in revised form 17 May 2024 Accepted 28 May 2024 Available online 15 June 2024	Rice husk gasification is increasingly attractive, particularly with updraft gasifier type, because of its simple construction and ease of operation. However, updraft gasifier has a disadvantage of generating substantial amounts of tar. Tar will decompose into combustible gas when exposed to high temperatures. The reduction zone has a high temperature for tar decomposition to occur. Therefore, in this research, updraft gasifier was modified by positioning gas outlet at the reduction zone and inducing gasification air supply using a blower. Modifications are made by moving the gas outlet from the top to the middle or reduction area. The initial start-up of the system uses a blown air supply system, then after the syngas are produced by the operation, it is replaced with a sucked air supply. Two blowers are used, namely an exhalation or blowing blower for initial start and a suction blower for continuous operation. The fuel used was low bulk density, specifically rice husks. The aim was to characterize the modified gasifier, focusing on parameters such as operating time, duration of gas combustion, air-to-rice husks ratio, and flame color. Typically, the experiments were conducted under constant of air velocity and fuel quantity. The results showed that the average operating time, duration of flammable gas, and air-to-rice husks ratio were 74.25 minutes, 52.28 minutes, and 7.6 kg air/kg husk, respectively, and the flame produced was a bluish-yellow color that indicates
zone; suction blower; rice husks	

1. Introduction

Biomass is a very promising energy source due to its renewability, low emissions, and CO2 neutrality [1,2]. In countries such as Indonesia, rice husks are abundant, serving as readily available sources of biomass [3]. The widely used technique for converting solid materials, such as rice husks into gas fuel is gasification [4-6]. Research has extensively explored the use of rice husks and sawdust for energy production through gasification [7-11]. Despite the potential benefits, using rice husks for gasification causes challenges due to low bulk density, necessitating specialized treatment. Among

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fajri.vidian@unsri.ac.id

https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.118.1.155162

the various gasification reactor options, the fixed-bed configuration serves as the preferred choice for rice husks, with updraft gasifier arising as a particularly suitable type [12]. Numerous research has used updraft gasifier for rice husks, as evidenced by the works of Qi *et al.*, [13], Cerinski *et al.*, [14], and Nguyen-Thi *et al.*, [15].

Updraft gasifier type offers the advantage of simple construction and easy operation [16,17]. However, there is a disadvantage when a large amount of tar is produced [18]. Previous research investigated gas outlet methods from the reduction zone, with air gasification supply, and wood as fuel [19,20]. According to tests conducted by Surjosatyo *et al.*, [19], wood gasification produced 111 g/m³ of tar. Meanwhile, this outcome can be reduced by modifying gas outlet system, as shown by [19]. Moving gas outlet position from the top to the reduction zone can reduce tar production to 81 g/m³ [19]. It is because tar decomposes after passing through the reduction zone which has a higher temperature. Consequently, the research shows the effectiveness of modifying gas outlet to the reduction zone in addressing updraft gasifier issues. Previous investigations used wood fuel with high bulk density and an exhalation or blowing blower for gasification air intake system. On the other hand, the current research uses low bulk density fuel, specifically rice husks, and a suction blower air supply. The objective is to investigate the operational characteristics of an updraft gasifier with gas outlet at the reduction zone and a sucked blower of air supply, focusing on low bulk-density fuel, namely rice husks.

2. Methodology

This research was carried out experimentally using an updraft gasifier that had been modified with a gas outlet at the reduction zone as shown in Figure 1. The modification was carried out by moving the gas outlet from the top to the middle or reduction zone. Gasification air is supplied using a blower. Airspeed is measured using an anemometer. The airspeed used in this experiment is constant at 2.1 m/s. The fuel used is rice husks as presented in Figure 2. Rice husks were chosen as fuel in this study because this fuel has many problems when gasified even though it uses a gasifier without a thoroatless area such as an updraft. This is because it is very light and has a low bulk density so the fuel flow in the reactor is not smooth, which in turn results in the gasification reaction not taking place properly to ensure the stability of the producer gas produced [21]. The ultimate analysis of rice husks is shown in Table 1. The amount of rice husks used in a bed is 4 kg.

The gasifier operation process is carried out in two stages. 1st stage, namely start-up, is shown in the blue dotted line in Figure 2, and 2nd stage, namely continuous or stable operation, is shown in the red dotted line in Figure 2.

In operation 1st stage (start-up) operation, gasification air is supplied using 1st blower or blowing blower then the gasification results exit to the 2nd burner. In this operation, the 1st valve and 3rd valve are opened but the 2nd valve and 4th valve are closed and the 2nd blower (suction blower) is turned off. Operation 1st stage (start-up operation) is completed after producer gas is produced, then continued with operation 2nd stage (continuous operation).

In operation 2nd stage (continuous operation) begins by turning off the blowing blower (1st blower) closing the 1st valve and 3rd valve, then turning on the suction blower (2nd blower) and opening the 2nd valve and 4th valve. The 2nd stage operation is completed when producer gas is no longer produced or the rice husks, as shown in Figure 3, in the gasifier have been used up.



Fig. 1. Experimental set-up of modification updraft gasifier



Fig. 2. Start-up and continuous operation of gas outlet at reduction zone using suction blower



Fig. 3. Rice husk

Analysis of Rice Husk			
	Unit	Value	
Proximate Analysis			
Moisture	Mass Fraction (%)	10,74	
Volatile Matter	Mass Fraction (%)	55,00	
Fixed Carbon	Mass Fraction (%)	13,16	
Ash	Mass Fraction (%)	21,10	
Ultimate Analysis			
Carbon	Mass Fraction (%)	33,70	
Hydrogen	Mass Fraction (%)	5,53	
Nitrogen	Mass Fraction (%)	0,37	
Sulfur	Mass Fraction (%)	0,06	
Oxygen		39,24	
Calorific Value			
Gross Calorific Value	Cal/g	3,076	

Table 1 Analysis of Rice Husk

3. Results and Discussion

Several operating characteristics that are important to obtain during testing of the gasification process, especially for new equipment systems, are the operating time in bed, the length of time the existing producer gas, the ratio of air to rice husks, and the color of the flame. Operation time is the time from start-up to the end of the gasification process. Of course, a long operating time is expected with a small amount of fuel. The duration of time that existing producer gas or flame is the time that producer gas is present during the operation. A good existing of producer gas time is obtained about 20 minutes after the operation begins for 1st bed until the end of the operation [22]. The air-to-rice husk ratio is an important parameter to obtain in research which will later become the baseline for supplying air or rice husk if the known parameters are the rice husk mass flow rate or air mass flow rate. The color of the flame will indicate the volatile content in the producer gas, the yellow color indicates a high volatile content and also identifies a fairly large tar content, while the bluish color indicates a smaller amount of volatiles and also identifies low tar. The overall blue flame color identifies the amount of tar below 30 mg/m³ [23].

3.1 The Duration of Operation Time and Existing Flame (Producer Gas) Time

Figure 4 shows that the average duration of operating time and existing flame (existing producer gas) time were 74.24 and 52.28 minutes, respectively. From the three tests conducted with a constant gasification air velocity and fuel quantity, variations were observed in the obtained operating time duration and existing flame time duration. The differences were recorded because the gasification reaction was influenced by factors such as biomass size, residence time, and operating temperature [24,25]. These results were also consistent with the research conducted by Hsi *et al.*, [26], and Kukharets *et al.*, [27]. Additionally, the duration of the existing flame time was obtained at 75% of the operating time duration.



Fig. 4. Duration of operation and existing flame (existing producer gas)

3.2 The Air- Rice Husk Ratio

Figure 5 shows the ratio of air and rice husk produced for three running times. The ratio of air to rice husk produced is 7.6. From each run, there is a difference in the ratio of the amount of air and rice husks used. This is because there is a change in the duration of operation time for each running as in Figure 4. This result is in line with the research conducted by Hsi *et al.*, [26].



3.3 Flame of Producer Gas Burning

According to Figure 6, the flame color for each test was bluish-yellow, closely resembling the results obtained by Susastriawan *et al.*, [28]. The flame color tended towards blue, indicative of reduction of tar conditions compare to full yellow. Moreover, the intensity of the flame burst was observed to be stronger during the suction operation compared to the blowing operation. In addition, the size and roughness of the fuel cause hydrophobic and fuel floating differences in the reactor which in turn affects the flame length [29-31].



Fig. 6. Flame of producer gas burning

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the modification of the updraft gasifier with a gas outlet at the reduction zone, along with gasification air supplied by a suction blower, was successfully tested and operated stably. The test was conducted while maintaining a constant air velocity of 2.1 m/s with a fuel of 4 kg. Consequently, the results showed an average operating time duration of 74.25 minutes, an average existing flame time duration of 52.28 minutes, and an air-to-rice husk ratio of 7.6 kg air/kg rice husk. It should be acknowledged that the flame produced was a bluish-yellow color.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Universitas Sriwijaya for funding this research.

References

- Zhang, Yuming, Lifeng Wan, Juntao Guan, Qing'an Xiong, Shu Zhang, and Xin Jin. "A review on biomass gasification: Effect of main parameters on char generation and reaction." *Energy & Fuels* 34, no. 11 (2020): 13438-13455. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c02900</u>
- [2] Suksuwan, Wasu, Mohd Faizal Mohideen Batcha, Arkom Palamanit, Maizirwan Mel, and Makatar Wae-hayee. "The effect of air equivalent ratio on combustion and gasification process characteristics of oil palm biomass in fluidized bed reactor." *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences* 99, no. 2 (2022): 108-118. https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.99.2.108118
- [3] Pertiwi, Sarah, Yohanes Bobby, Marcellino Lorenzo, Hafif Dafiqurrohman, and Adi Surjosatyo. "Mobile Rice Husk Gasifier Performance and Techno-Economic Analysis as Micro Scale Power Generation: Modeling and Experiment." *Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences* 54, no. 6 (2022): 220608. <u>https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2022.54.6.8</u>

- [4] Santos, Santa Margarida, Ana Carolina Assis, Leandro Gomes, Catarina Nobre, and Paulo Brito. "Waste gasification technologies: a brief overview." In *Waste*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 140-165. MDPI, 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/waste1010011</u>
- [5] Shah, Hamad Hussain, Muhammad Amin, Amjad Iqbal, Irfan Nadeem, Mitjan Kalin, Arsalan Muhammad Soomar, and Ahmed M. Galal. "A review on gasification and pyrolysis of waste plastics." *Frontiers in Chemistry* 10 (2023): 960894. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2022.960894</u>
- [6] Simanjuntak, Janter Pangaduan, Khaled Ali Al-attab, Eka Daryanto, Bisrul Hapis Tambunan, and Eswanto Eswanto. "Bioenergy as an Alternative Energy Source: Progress and Development to Meet the Energy Mix in Indonesia." Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences 97, no. 1 (2022): 85-104. https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.97.1.85104
- [7] Park, Sung Jin, Seong Hye Son, Jin Woo Kook, Ho Won Ra, Sang Jun Yoon, Tae-Young Mun, Ji Hong Moon et al. "Gasification operational characteristics of 20-tons-Per-Day rice husk fluidized-bed reactor." *Renewable Energy* 169 (2021): 788-798. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.01.045</u>
- [8] Tuan, Phan Dinh, Vo Trang Nhi, Hoang Minh Huong, and Daolun Feng. "Enrichment of hydrogen in product gas from a pilot-scale rice husk updraft gasification system." *Carbon Resources Conversion* 5, no. 3 (2022): 231-239. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crcon.2022.07.003</u>
- [9] Yoon, Sang Jun, Yung-II Son, Yong-Ku Kim, and Jae-Goo Lee. "Gasification and power generation characteristics of rice husk and rice husk pellet using a downdraft fixed-bed gasifier." *Renewable Energy* 42 (2012): 163-167. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.08.028</u>
- [10] Jain, Anil Kr, and John R. Goss. "Determination of reactor scaling factors for throatless rice husk gasifier." *Biomass and Bioenergy* 18, no. 3 (2000): 249-256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(99)00083-5</u>
- [11] Le Tan, Nhan Tu, Nguyen Phuc Thien Le, Tan Phat Vo, Thanh Phong Mai, and Dinh Quan Nguyen. "Experiment and Simulation of Rice husk Gasification Process with a Downdraft Gasifier." *Chemical Engineering Transactions* 97 (2022): 79-84.
- [12] Havilah, Pulla Rose, Amit Kumar Sharma, Gopalakrishnan Govindasamy, Leonidas Matsakas, and Alok Patel. "Biomass gasification in downdraft gasifiers: A technical review on production, up-gradation and application of synthesis gas." *Energies* 15, no. 11 (2022): 3938. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en15113938</u>
- [13] Qi, Jingwei, Yijie Wang, Ming Hu, Pengcheng Xu, Haoran Yuan, and Yong Chen. "A reactor network of biomass gasification process in an updraft gasifier based on the fully kinetic model." *Energy* 268 (2023): 126642. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2023.126642</u>
- [14] Cerinski, Damijan, Ana Isabel Ferreiro, Jakov Baleta, Mario Costa, Francesco Zimbardi, Nadia Cerone, and Jin Wang.
 "Modelling the biomass updraft gasification process using the combination of a pyrolysis kinetic model and a thermodynamic equilibrium model." *Energy Reports* 7 (2021): 8051-8061.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.05.079</u>
- [15] Nguyen-Thi, Thanh Xuan, Thi Minh Tu Bui, and Van Ga Bui. "Simulation and experimental study of refuse-derived fuel gasification in an updraft gasifier." *International Journal of Renewable Energy Development* 12, no. 3 (2023): 601. <u>https://doi.org/10.14710/ijred.2023.53994</u>
- [16] Shahbeig, Hossein, Alireza Shafizadeh, Marc A. Rosen, and Bert F. Sels. "Exergy sustainability analysis of biomass gasification: a critical review." *Biofuel Research Journal* 9, no. 1 (2022): 1592-1607. <u>https://doi.org/10.18331/BRJ2022.9.1.5</u>
- [17] Suksuwan, Wasu, Mohd Faizal Mohideen Batcha, Arkom Palamanit, and Makatar Wae-hayee. "Experiment and Numerical Studies of the Effect of Equivalent Ratio on Combustion Characteristics in Fluidized Bed Gasifier." *Journal* of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences 106, no. 2 (2023): 167-176. <u>https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.106.2.167176</u>
- [18] Jayanarasimhan, Ananthanarasimhan, Ram Mohan Pathak, Anand M. Shivapuji, and Lakshminarayana Rao. "Tar Formation in Gasification Systems: A Holistic Review of Remediation Approaches and Removal Methods." ACS Omega 9, no. 2 (2024): 2060-2079. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c04425</u>
- [19] Surjosatyo, Adi, Fajri Vidian, and Yulianto Sulistyo Nugroho. "Study on Different Gas Outlet Positions in Measurement of Gravimetric Tar Contents in Biomass Updraft Gasifier." *Journal of the Japan Institute of Energy* 94, no. 11 (2015): 1355-1361. <u>https://doi.org/10.3775/jie.94.1355</u>
- [20] Surjosatyo, Adi, Fajri Vidian, and Yulianto Sulistyo Nugroho. "Experimental gasification of biomass in an updraft gasifier with external recirculation of pyrolysis gases." *Journal of Combustion* 2014 (2014). <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/832989</u>
- [21] Augustine M, Anjan, Christus Jeya Singh V, and Joseph Sekhar S. "Spent tea waste as a biomass for co-gasification enhances the performance of semi-industrial gasifier working on groundnut shell." *Biomass and Bioenergy* 145 (2021): 105964. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2021.105964</u>

- [22] Fazil, A., Sandeep Kumar, and Sanjay M. Mahajani. "Gasification and Co-gasification of paper-rich, high-ash refusederived fuel in downdraft gasifier." *Energy* 263 (2023): 125659. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.125659</u>
- [23] Din, Zia Ud, and Z. A. Zainal. "Tar reduction mechanism via compression of producer gas." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 184 (2018): 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.198</u>
- [24] Susastriawan, A. A. P., and Harwin Saptoadi. "Small-scale downdraft gasifiers for biomass gasification: A review." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 76 (2017): 989-1003. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.112</u>
- [25] Mishra, Somya, and Rajesh Kumar Upadhyay. "Review on biomass gasification: Gasifiers, gasifying mediums, and operational parameters." *Materials Science for Energy Technologies* 4 (2021): 329-340. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mset.2021.08.009</u>
- [26] Hsi, Chih-Lun, Tzong-Yuan Wang, Chien-Hsiung Tsai, Ching-Yuan Chang, Chiu-Hao Liu, Yao-Chung Chang, and Jing-T. Kuo. "Characteristics of an air-blown fixed-bed downdraft biomass gasifier." *Energy & Fuels* 22, no. 6 (2008): 4196-4205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ef800026x</u>
- [27] Kukharets, Savelii, Gennadii Golub, Marek Wrobel, Olena Sukmaniuk, Krzysztof Mudryk, Taras Hutsol, Algirdas Jasinskas, Marcin Jewiarz, Jonas Cesna, and Iryna Horetska. "A Theoretical Model of the Gasification Rate of Biomass and Its Experimental Confirmation." *Energies* 15, no. 20 (2022): 7721. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15207721
- [28] Susastriawan, Anak Agung Putu, Harwin Saptoadi, and T. M. Purnomo. "Comparative Study of Two Small Scale Downdraft Gasifiers in Terms of Continuous Flammability Duration of Producer Gas from Rice Husk and Sawdust Gasification." *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER)* 7, no. 3 (2017): 1250-1257.
- [29] Wang, Shiwei, Huidong Fan, Huan He, Longfei Tang, and Xiuxiang Tao. "Effect of particle shape and roughness on the hydrophobicity of low-rank coal surface." *International Journal of Coal Preparation and Utilization* (2018). <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19392699.2017.1423066</u>
- [30] Wang, Shiwei, and Rongjie Kong. "Analyzing the flotation kinetics of long-flame coal slurry using water-soluble emulsified collector mixtures." *Fuel* 360 (2024): 130572. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.130572</u>
- [31] Wang, Shiwei, and Xiuxiang Tao. "Comparison of the adhesion kinetics between air or oily bubble and long flame coal surface in flotation." *Fuel* 291 (2021): 120139. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.120139</u>